

# Recruitment Plan Guidelines Moody School of Graduate and Advanced Studies Office of Postdoctoral Affairs

A postdoctoral recruitment plan is a document composed by a supervisor/Principal Investigator and submitted with a Postdoctoral Fellow Appointment Request Form at the time when the postdoctoral search is first proposed. Before submission, it should be reviewed by the school's Cultural Intelligence Officer. The postdoctoral recruitment plan consists of five parts: 1) Basic Information, 2) Full text of the job advertisement, 3) Outreach Plan, 4) Evaluation and Selection Plan, and 5) Supplemental documentation. Each of these items is addressed below. If you have questions about this process, please direct them to Rob Pearson (pearsonr@smu.edu).

#### 1) BASIC INFORMATION

College/School:	
Department:	
Position Number:	
Discipline:	
Subfield or Technical Areas the Postdoc will Work I	n
Job Title: Postdoctoral Fellow in	
Supervisor/Principal Investigator:	

#### 2) FULL TEXT OF JOB ADVERTISEMENT

Include the full text of the job advertisement in your recruitment plan. We encourage you to use the template on p. 12 of the SMU Model for Faculty Recruitment and Development for inspiration, with the understanding that norms vary for every field. Once approved, the language you submit will be included in Interfolio and on your school's faculty hiring website. You should feel free to be flexible in how you compose your job description, but all job descriptions are required to have the following components:

- Position Number
- Start Date
- Priority Consideration Date. You must use this language; do not use "deadline" or "review by." The language below is preferred:

Review of applications will begin on [date]. To ensure full consideration for the position, the application must be received by [date], but the committee will continue to accept applications until the position is filled. Applicants will be notified of employment decisions after the position is filled. Hiring is contingent upon the satisfactory completion of a background check.

- Minimum Qualifications (educational and experience). The language below may be helpful as you develop your qualifications. Note that postdoctoral positions do not often involve teaching. In such instances you should leave out the language on teaching.
  - Minimum qualifications: completed requirements for a doctoral or other terminal degree in \_\_\_\_\_\_
     or related field by (insert date); [If teaching is involved in the postdoctoral position, then include: "expertise to teach courses in and demonstrated potential as a teacher-scholar."

- Preferred qualifications: completed Ph.D.; experience teaching graduate or undergraduate courses in \_\_\_\_\_ as well as courses in any of the following areas: (insert list); interest in making significant contributions to graduate programs; research and/or creative scholarship which has led to publication, exhibition or dissemination in refereed journals, and/or national venues; experience mentoring students. Position begins {insert date}.
- Background Check Statement: "Hiring is contingent upon the satisfactory completion of a background check."
- **SMU Nondiscrimination Statement:** "SMU is an equal opportunity employer. All qualified applicants will receive consideration for employment without regard to race, color, religion, national origin, sex, age, disability, genetic information, veteran status, sexual orientation, or gender identity and expression."

#### 3) OUTREACH PLAN

Outreach plans must demonstrate an intentional approach to recruiting a vast range of candidates and should strive to develop a highly qualified applicant pool through more than one approach, including field-specific and personalized outreach to prominent doctorate-granting institutions and departments.

**Field-Specific** – The job advertisement should be posted in appropriate and wide-reaching venues in the discipline.

**Personalized Outreach** – These should consist of outreach activities beyond your normal networks, including contacting colleagues at institutions that graduate a range of different students, outreach at conference events, posting on listservs of organizations, and other active recruiting efforts.

#### 4) EVALUATION AND SELECTION PROCESS

Include a plan for how the finalist(s) will be determined. Note that this process must include at least one other individual, preferably a faculty member or administrator, to review applications and conduct at least one round of interviews and a rubric for how the decision will be made (sample rubrics are included at the end of this document). Ideally, you will form a committee to participate in the entire process.

The plan must state who will review applications, who will participate in phone/Zoom or in-person interviews, and who will be involved in the final selection process. The plan should also provide a rough timeline for when these events will take place.

### **SUPPLEMENTAL DOCUMENTATION**

Include copies of all job advertisements that were posted as part of your search, the rubric(s) you will use to evaluate application materials and interviews, and any other relevant material.

## **Sample Postdoctoral Fellow Application Evaluation Rubric**

App	olicant's Name:	Posit	ion Nun	nber:			
Pleas	se indicate which of the following are true for you (	check all tha	nt apply)	:			
□ R	ead candidate's CV	candidate's	cover le	tter			
□R€	ead candidate's scholarship	candidate's	submitt	ed researc	h state	ement	
□R€	ead candidate's letters of recommendation $\Box$ Read	candidate's	teaching	statemer	nt		
				-			
Dloor	se rate the candidate on each of the following:						
ricas	se rate the candidate on each of the following.	Excellent	Cand	Nautual	Fa!u	Daar	Unable to Indeed
1.	Potential for (Evidence of) scholarly impact	Excellent	Good	Neutral	Fair	Poor	Unable to Judge
2.	Potential for (Evidence of) research productivity						
3.	Potential for (Evidence of) research funding						
4.	Potential for (Evidence of) collaboration (within department or across campus)						
5.	Relationship to the department's priorities						
6.	Ability to make a positive contribution to department's climate						
7.	Ability to make a positive contribution to department's campus service						
8.	Potential (Demonstrated ability) to attract and supervise graduate students						
9.	Potential (Demonstrated ability) to teach and supervise undergraduates						
10.	. Potential (Demonstrated ability) to attract, teach, and mentor students						

Adapted from materials developed by the STRIDE Committee at the University of Michigan and Harvard University

## **Sample Postdoctoral Candidate Post-Interview Evaluation Rubric**

Applicant's Name	Positio	n Number					
Please indicate which of the following are tru	e for you (check al	l that apply)	:				
Read candidate's CV	☐ Met with car	ndidate					
Read candidate's scholarship	☐Atter	ıded meal wi	th candi	date			
Read candidate's letters of recommendation	on $\square$ Othe	r (please exp	lain)				
Attended candidate's job talk							
Please comment on the candidate's teaching a	bility (noting the b	asis of your a	assessm	ent):			
Please comment on the candidate's teaching a		asis of your a	assessm <sup>,</sup>	ent):			Unahla
		easis of your a	Good	ent):	Fair	Poor	
	wing:				Fair	Poor	
Please rate the candidate on each of the follo	wing:				Fair	Poor	
Please rate the candidate on each of the follo  1. Potential for (Evidence of) scholarly impa	wing: oct uctivity				Fair	Poor	
1. Potential for (Evidence of) scholarly impa 2. Potential for (Evidence of) research produ 3. Potential for (Evidence of) research fundi 4. Potential for (Evidence of) collaboration (	wing: oct uctivity				Fair	Poor	
1. Potential for (Evidence of) scholarly impa 2. Potential for (Evidence of) research produ 3. Potential for (Evidence of) research fundi	wing:  oct  uctivity ing (within				Fair	Poor  □ □ □ □ □ □	
1. Potential for (Evidence of) scholarly impa 2. Potential for (Evidence of) research produ 3. Potential for (Evidence of) research fundi 4. Potential for (Evidence of) collaboration (department or across campus)	wing:  act  uctivity  ing  (within	Excellent			Fair	Poor  □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Unable to Judg
1. Potential for (Evidence of) scholarly impa 2. Potential for (Evidence of) research produ 3. Potential for (Evidence of) research fundi 4. Potential for (Evidence of) collaboration (department or across campus) 5. Relationship to the department's prioritie 6. Ability to make a positive contribution to	wing:  uct  uctivity  ing  (within  es  department's	Excellent			Fair	Poor  □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	
1. Potential for (Evidence of) scholarly impa 2. Potential for (Evidence of) research production 3. Potential for (Evidence of) research funding 4. Potential for (Evidence of) collaboration (department or across campus) 5. Relationship to the department's prioritien 6. Ability to make a positive contribution to climate 7. Ability to make a positive contribution to	wing:  cct  uctivity  ing  (within  es  department's	Excellent			Fair	Poor  □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	
1. Potential for (Evidence of) scholarly impa 2. Potential for (Evidence of) research produ 3. Potential for (Evidence of) research fundi 4. Potential for (Evidence of) collaboration (department or across campus) 5. Relationship to the department's prioritie 6. Ability to make a positive contribution to climate 7. Ability to make a positive contribution to campus service 8. Potential (Demonstrated ability) to attractive contribution to campus service	wing:  act  uctivity  ing  (within  es  department's  department's	Excellent			Fair	Poor	

Adapted from materials developed by the STRIDE Committee at the University of Michigan and Harvard University

mentor students