# Sprimes: A Sparse Prime-Like Sequence of Natural Numbers Generated by the Goldbach Conjecture

Ian R. Harris
Department of Statistical Science
Southern Methodist University
Dallas, TX 75275
USA
iharris@smu.edu

#### Abstract

Using a conjecture closely related to the Goldbach Hypothesis, we can generate a set of natural numbers, which we term *sprimes* that like the primes, have both regular and chaotic properties, and are a good deal sparser than the primes. This paper explains how to generate these and explores some of their properties.

### 1 Introduction

Suppose we define the set of primes to be P, and let  $Q = \{1\} \cup P \setminus \{2\} = \{1, 3, 5, 7...\}$ . A conjecture that is very similar to the Goldbach hypothesis on the primes is as follows

### Conjecture $GH_Q$ :

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ \exists p, q \text{ such that } p, q \in Q \text{and } (p+q)/2 = n.$ 

(The set Q is chosen instead of the more conventional P as it fits in more nicely with the discussion and definitions to follow, but clearly the statement is very similar to the conventional Goldbach hypothesis.)

It is interesting to reverse the direction of the hypothesis, and seek sets of natural numbers  $G \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  which are known by construction to satisfy the hypothesis. We will define a set G a  $Goldbach\ Set$  if it satisfies the  $Goldbach\ Condition$  as stated below:

#### GC:

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists g_1, g_2 \in G \text{ such that } (g_1 + g_2)/2 = n.$ 

(Note that this definition is very similar to the concept of a Goldbach sequence as defined by Torelli [5]. A key difference is that averages of the members of our set are used to generate

the natural numbers, rather than sums, and 0 is not allowed in constructing the averages.) Clearly it is easy to choose sets which satisfy GC. Trivial examples include  $\{2k-1|k\in\mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\{1+10k,3+10k,7+10k,9+10k|k\in\mathbb{Z}^+\}$ . None of the aforementioned Goldbach Sets exhibit complexity of behavior like Q. Indeed these sets are asymptotically far more dense than Q or P. One interesting questions is "does Q (and also P) only just satisfy the Goldbach Hypothesis?" In other words, would any smaller set (either a subset of Q or a less dense set than Q) also satisfy the hypothesis? If the answer is negative, this would imply proving the Goldbach Hypothesis may be harder than if the answer is affirmative.

This paper describes a set S, which we term sprimes, to draw analogy with the primes and also to reflect the fact that they are sparser (more spread out) than P (or Q). The set appears to suggest that P is denser than needed to satisfy the Goldbach Hypothesis and hence raises the possibility that the hypothesis might be satisfied by some suitable subset of P.

The set  $S = \{s_i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is generated sequentially as follows:

- 1)  $s_1 = 1$
- 2) Given  $\{s_1, ..., s_k\} = S_k$ , form the set  $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} | \exists s_i, s_j \in S_k, (s_i + s_j)/2 = n\}$ .
- 3) Let  $m = \min(\mathbb{N} \setminus A)$ . This number is the smallest natural number which cannot be formed by averages of the current finite list of Sprimes.
- 4) Create a candidate set  $C_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} | n > s_k, \exists s_i \in S_k \ (s_i + n)/2 = m\}$  of possible choices for  $s_{k+1}$ .
- 5) Evaluate the worth  $w_i$  of each member  $i \in C_k$  by  $w_i = |(\{(i+s_j)/2 | s_j \in S_k\})|$
- $\cup$  {i})  $\cap$  {N\A}|. (This assigns to each candidate a worth which is equal to the number of new values that will be added to set A if the candidate is chosen for S).
- 6) Choose for  $s_{k+1}$  the largest candidate with the highest worth, that is  $s_{k+1} = \max\{i \in C_k | w_i = \max_{j \in C_k} w_j\}$ .

Note that one could after step 4, choose the smallest natural number in the set, but this does not generate a sparse set, and the resulting set  $\{1, 3, 5, \ldots\}$  is regular and uninteresting. The first 500 sprimes are listed in two tables in the appendix. Sprimes that are also prime (actually in Q) are in bold. Although the process described above that generates the sprimes is not a conventional sieve, in which arithmetic progressions of numbers are eliminated from  $\mathbb{N}$ , the process has similarities with the sieve methods. Note it is also possible to start with 3 instead of 1, which generates  $R = \{r_i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , such that  $r_i = s_i + 2$ . In addition, by slightly altering the procedure for generating the set A, it is possible to start the sequence

with 2 and 3, to make it more closely resemble the primes. Neither of these two changes in starting condition are important, in the sense that the sets generated exhibit the same general behavior as the sprimes.

Torelli [5] suggested a similiar construction using the concept of a "basis", in which a set A is a basis for the natural numbers if any natural number can be written as a sum of members of the set A. A Goldbach sequence as defined by Torelli is then such a basis written in increasing order, without the element 0 (see also Gunturk and Nathanson [1]). In this paper we only insist that sums of members of a set A cover the even positive integers (that is averages would cover all the natural numbers), and 0 is not allowed in any sum. These conditions are essentially the same as the conditions classically stated for the Goldbach Hypothesis. "Lucky" numbers (Hawkins and Briggs [3]) and "random primes" (Hawkins[2]) also appear to be Goldbach sets in the sense described in this paper, but there is no known proof of this assertion, only empirical evidence. Heyde [4] shows that numbers generated by the random Hawkins sieve almost surely satisfy the Riemann hypothesis.

## 2 Some properties and conjectures for the sprimes motivated by the primes

- 1) By construction,  $|S| = \infty$ , which is true also of P and Q, as proven by Euclid.
- 2) The members of Q can be generated iteratively by the sieve of Eratosthenes. The sprimes S are generated by a sieve-like process.
- 3) There is strong empirical evidence that Q is a Goldbach set (that is GH is true). By construction S is a Goldbach set.
- 4) There is empirical evidence that there are an infinite number of twin primes, ie  $\{n, m \in Q | m = n + 2\} | = \infty$ . It is not known if S has this property, but we note there are 8 pairs of twin sprimes in the first 500, namely  $\{(1,3),(11,13),(77,79),(115,117),(3791,3793),(6855,6857),(14551,14553),(31231,31233)\}$ .
- 5) The prime number theorem states that  $\pi(n) \sim n/\ln(n)$ . More accurately if one defines  $Li(n) = \int_2^n \frac{1}{\ln t} dt$ ,
- then  $\pi(n) \sim Li(n)$ . Empirical evidence (see section 3) suggests that the sprime counting function  $\bar{\sigma}(n) \sim n^{0.5709}$ . However, this is very misleading as a power function in n also

provides a very accurate approximation to  $\pi(n)$  for "small" n. As shown in section 3, there is an apparent linear relationship between  $\log(s_k)$  and  $\log(p_k)$ , which if true for larger n, would imply  $\bar{\sigma}(n) \sim \frac{n^{0.763}}{0.763 \log n}$ , or some such similar relationship.

- 6) (Bertrand's postulate, proved for P by Chebyshev).  $\forall n \geq 2, \exists p \in P \text{ st } n \leq p \leq 2n$ . This property is true for any Goldbach set, hence is true for S.
- 7)  $\sqrt{p_{n+1}} \sqrt{p_n} < 1$  (Andrica's conjecture). Numerical evidence is strongly in favor of this for primes. For sprimes, the conjecture is false, although it is possible that the result is true with a higher bound. Note that  $\sqrt{s_{316}} \sqrt{s_{315}} = \sqrt{23973} \sqrt{23145} = 2.7$ .
- 8) (Sophie Germain conjecture). It is conjectured that there are infinite n such that (n, 2n +
- 1)  $\in P$ . In the first 500 sprimes, there are 8 such pairs:  $\{(1,3),(3,7),(13,27),(117,235),(5421,10843),(6159,12319),(12565,25131),(25523,51047)\}$ .
- 9) It seems reasonable that  $|Q \cap S| = \infty$ , although like other results of this type, the proof is probably hard. A related question is whether the density of the primes amongst the sprimes is what one would expect if they were "distributed at random". Probablistic investigations in section 3 suggest that sprimes are slightly more likely to be primes than non-sprime odd integers.
- 10) Does  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s_k}$  converge? We know that the corresponding sum for primes diverges, but the sprimes are more spread out. Direct examination of a sum of a finite number of terms is of no use as if the sum diverges, it will do so very slowly. If indeed the sprimes counting function behaves as  $\bar{\sigma}(n) \sim \frac{n^{0.763}}{0.763 \log n}$ , then we would expect the sum to converge.

## 3 Probabilistic analysis:

Here we perform various statistical analyses of the sprimes, under assumptions that they can be modelled as random variables. This method has a long tradition in number theory.

A plot of the  $\log p_k$  versus  $\log s_k$  for the first 500 terms of each sequence reveals a near straight line relationship (correlation coefficient of 0.999), which implies that

$$\log s_k \approx \alpha + \beta \log p_k.$$

In fact a relationship with  $\alpha = 0$  is possible. For simplicity, we will take this to be the case, and assume  $p_k = s_k^{\gamma}$  for some power  $\gamma$ , and use the empirical relationship to derive the form of the counting function  $\bar{\sigma}(n)$  for the sprimes.

We know  $k = \pi(p_k) \approx p_k/\log p_k$ , so substitution of the approximate form for  $s_k$  gives

$$k = \frac{s_k^{\gamma}}{\gamma \log s_k}.$$

Thus we can suggest

$$\bar{\sigma}(n) \approx \frac{n^{\gamma}}{\gamma \log n}.$$

Empirically we have  $\gamma \approx 0.763$ . It is of course possible that  $\bar{\sigma}(n)$  follows some totally different form, and the empirical results are merely artifacts of the "law of small numbers". A reasonable empirical alternative is that  $\bar{\sigma}(n) \approx n^{0.571}$ .

The table below gives actual values for  $\bar{\sigma}(n)$ , along with values for the approximations  $n^{0.763}/0.763 \log n$  and  $n^{0.571}$  for various n.

n	(n)	$n^{0.571}$	$n^{0.763}/0.763 \log n$
	$\bar{\sigma}(n)$		·
50	9	9.3	6.6
100	13	13.9	9.5
500	34	34.7	24.2
1000	50	51.6	36.9
5000	127	129.3	102.2
10000	190	192.1	160.4
15000	246	242.2	209.4
20000	287	285.4	253.1
25000	324	324.2	293.5
30000	360	359.7	331.4
40000	416	424.0	401.5
50000	479	481.6	466.2

The *n* power law seems a better fit to  $\bar{\sigma}(n)$  than the law derived from the linear relationship, but again, this could easily be an artifact of the aforementioned law. Indeed the power law  $(2/3)n^{0.81}$  is a better fit to the prime counting function  $\pi(n)$  than the known correct asymptotic form  $n/\log n$  for  $n \approx 3000$ .

Roughly speaking about  $2/\log n$  of the odd integers up to n are prime, so applying this to the 500 sprimes terminating in 53,003 we would expect about  $2(500)/\log 53003 \approx 90$  of the first 500 sprimes to be prime. The actual number of prime-sprimes in the first 500 sprimes is 110, suggesting perhaps sprimes are slightly more likely to be prime than randomly chosen odd integers, but again this is likely to merely be related to the fact that the  $n/\log n$  formula undercounts primes for small n.

We can see how many sprimes are divisible by 3,5,7 etc. The table below gives the proportion of such in the first 500 sprimes.

	Expected proportion	Actual proportion
3	33.33%	32.6%
5	20%	20%
7	14.2%	15.6%
11	9.1%	8.6%
13	7.7%	7.6%
17	5.9%	7.2%
23	4.3%	4.4%

Note, the expected proportion is calculated assuming a random sample from the odd integers. The observed proportions of multiples of 3,5 etc are what one would expect if the sprimes were randomly chose from the odd integers.

### 4 Conclusion:

This paper introduces the sprimes (denoted by S), which are specifically constructed to satisfy a Goldbach type property (namely that any even positive integer can be represented as a sum of two sprimes). The construction is recursive, where each member of the set S is determined by a simple algorithm based on the current members. The set S is constructed in such a way that the set is as sparse as possible in a certain sense. Empirically the sprimes follow as similar distribution law as the primes, but are sparser. This suggests that the primes might be redundant in satisfying Goldbach's conjecture, that is they are denser than is needed to form a basis for even positive integers.

#### Appendix 1:

The 1st to 500th sprimes.

	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th
1-10	1	3	7	11	13	27	31	35	49	61
11-21	77	79	93	101	115	117	133	163	183	187
21-30	193	235	245	257	271	279	323	335	343	381
31-40	399	439	481	497	507	535	549	569	619	669
41-50	681	693	713	739	815	833	863	905	941	973
51-60	1033	1053	1089	1119	1141	1163	1181	1259	1285	1317
61-70	1341	1401	1419	1431	1555	1565	1647	1685	1691	1699
71-80	1747	1841	1853	1875	1981	2001	2041	2051	2095	2149
81-90	2195	2259	2281	2299	2339	2377	2511	2557	2611	2663
91-100	2669	2781	2815	2857	2889	3027	3041	3087	3125	3129
101-110	3249	3309	3379	3501	3559	3577	3667	3675	3791	3793
111-120	3853	3933	4043	4055	4125	4181	4185	4253	4317	4389
121-130	4465	4539	4583	4689	4781	4877	4977	5069	5137	5215
131-140	5259	5307	5387	5421	5443	5497	5523	5661	5739	5747
141-150	5763	5837	5871	5881	5965	5977	6065	6153	6159	6235
151-160	6465	6535	6539	6855	6857	6889	6907	6957	6993	7103
161-170	7131	7295	7379	7389	7445	7735	7739	7939	7955	8133
171-180	8175	8207	8279	8403	8437	8447	8639	8687	8747	8775
181-190	8881	8929	9049	9095	9207	9393	9581	9673	9693	9745
191-200	10003	10073	10129	10169	10269	10299	10307	10385	10603	10717
201-210	10797	10813	10843	10903	10911	11079	11227	11269	11331	11461
211-220	11807	11877	12035	12085	12099	12175	12217	12319	12525	12565
221-230	12587	12647	12727	12811	12845	13005	13163	13371	13389	13591
231-240	13945	14117	14153	14157	14181	14221	14239	14305	14337	14409
241-250	14467	14551	14553	14799	14853	14981	15113	15385	15483	15533

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
251-260	15743	15833	16167	16223	16273	16413	16557	16889	16915	17051
261-270	17197	17335	17407	17473	17481	17603	17683	17847	17875	17971
271-280	18101	18379	18403	18661	18695	18817	18827	19073	19141	19153
281-290	19223	19579	19731	19745	19757	19831	19883	20075	20101	20331
291-300	20355	20385	20403	20783	20927	20955	20991	21135	21339	21549
301-310	21665	21781	21935	22001	22037	22047	22165	22397	22483	22521
311-320	22807	22815	22871	23085	23145	23793	24067	24163	24469	24615
321-330	24627	24703	24769	24841	25131	25177	25439	25523	25643	25787
331-340	25903	26055	26063	26213	26291	26431	26491	26617	26659	26693
341-350	26729	26899	27035	27123	27377	27511	27581	27619	27693	28241
351-360	28569	28601	28805	29001	29045	29221	29587	29619	29957	29985
361-370	30179	30377	30859	31107	31157	31231	31233	31625	31945	31977
371-380	31987	32041	32109	32559	32667	32721	32993	33033	33299	33465
381-390	34125	34285	34379	34453	34477	34515	34569	34901	34915	35079
391-400	35629	35679	35763	35913	35929	35975	36021	36209	36225	36599
401-410	36665	37059	37125	37151	37179	37575	37631	37083	38063	38609
411-420	38703	38833	39043	39125	39291	39529	40041	40135	40233	40315
421-430	40433	40513	40573	40641	40811	41161	41981	42023	42101	42275
431-440	42319	42393	42445	42561	42865	43185	43269	43369	43457	43493
441-450	43719	43811	44077	44209	44329	44357	44767	44807	44933	45149
451-460	45311	45329	45479	45623	45695	45909	46085	46501	46545	46633
461-470	46969	47085	47111	47209	47685	47083	48091	48545	48795	48803
471-480	48907	49127	49259	49417	49513	49567	49593	49793	49929	50205
481-490	50295	50641	50669	50701	50985	51017	51407	51443	51495	51631
491-500	52199	52379	52389	52499	52513	52663	52749	52777	52981	53003

## References

- [1] Gunturk C. S. and Nathanson M. B. (2006) A new upper bound for additive bases. *Acta Arithmetica*, 124, 235-255.
- [2] Hawkins D.(1958) The random sieve. Mathematics Magazine. 31, 1-3.
- [3] Hawkins, D. and Briggs, W. E. (1958). The lucky number theorem. *Mathematics Magazine*. 31, 81-84.
- [4] Heyde, C. C. (1978). A log log improvement to the Riemann hypothesis for the Hawkins random sieve. *Annals of Probability*, 6, 850-875.

[5] Torelli, M. (2006). Increasing integer sequences and Goldbach's conjecture. *RAIRO-Theoretical informatics and applications*, 40, 107-121.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11A41.

Keywords: prime counting function, Goldbach conjecture, quasi-primes.

(Concerned with sequence A192358.)