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Bottom Line Up Front

• Next generation Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS) and Tertiary Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) may have at least one thing in common, supercritical CO2

• Gas Equipment Engineering Corporation, founded in 1921 as a producer of CO2, has teamed with Plasma Energy, Fairbanks Morse Engine, and many others in several efforts which pursue the common need of low cost topping systems that enable the research and broader development of EGS-CO2 and Tertiary EOR, as well as new lower or zero emission power generation technology
Introductions

- GEECO is a supplier of custom, high performance Air Separation Units and specialty gas equipment to the US Navy and other very demanding customers.

- Fairbanks Morse Engine is the original U.S. manufacturer and today's premier provider of customized medium-speed engine systems and generator sets, diesel or dual fuel, from ~1 to over 20 MW. Primary markets include stationary power generation for commercial, industrial and nuclear customers and marine propulsion for the United States Navy and the US Coast Guard.

- PLASMA Energy Technologies, Inc. has created a carbon capture technology for fossil fuel powered generation plants. Solid or liquid sulfurous fuels such as coal, pet coke or heavy oil fractions can be utilized and all of the carbon or carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions, and 99.9% of the sulfur dioxide are captured.
EGS / EOR Working Fluid: High Pressure Water or Carbon Dioxide?

- Recent technical papers have exposed the concept of CO2, as a supercritical fluid, as an alternative to high pressure water for EGS

- Tertiary EOR, using supercritical CO2, will increase yield / field production in many cases.

- Combination of the technology is possible, but there have been barriers...
  - Which we think we can remove
One Example of the Benefit of CO2 EOR...

Weyburn, SK Canada – Enhanced Oil Recovery CO₂ Flood Model
And… CO2 EGS Enables Greater Exploitation Of Geothermal Energy

Geothermal today is exclusively in areas of high heat flow. Exploitation of geothermal is possible nearly anywhere in the lower 48, but lower reservoir temperatures will increase the pumping power burden. CO2 EGS thermal siphon eliminates the “pumping penalty”.
Development of Top Side Equipment Concept

- Simplistic Water EGS

Diagram:
- Hot HP Water
- Organic Rankine Gen.
- Massive Water Pump System
- HP Gas Vent (if needed)
- One (or more) very deep holes
- Water Treatment & Filtration
- Production
- Injection
- Electrical Power
- "Fill Here"
Development of Top Side Equipment Concept

- Basic CO2 EGS Thermal Siphon with Optional Power Turbine

![Diagram showing flow of CO2 through various processes including electrical power generation, gas expansion, and condensation/separation.]
GEECO Preliminary Model comparing working fluid temperature and pressure vs. path length for CO2 and H2O reveals a strong thermal siphon -- CO2 will not require a pump, unlike water.
In the previous example, at 70% efficiency, the EGS-H2O pump consumes over 1/3rd of the generated power. EGS-CO2 does not require a pump. EGS-CO2 direct to the turbine has the potential to generate almost triple the power of EGS Water.
EGS Working Fluid: High Pressure Water or Carbon Dioxide?

**High Pressure Water**
- Well understood
- Reacts with bedrock
  - Direct use of steam problematic
- Mobility low and pressure drop high at depth
  - Viscosity / Density not favorable
- Very high pumping power
  - Could be ~40% of gross power
- High specific heat
- Temperature loss up-hole can be low (heat transfer driven)
- Cheap (working fluid price)
  - At least locally

**Super Critical Carbon Dioxide**
- Not as well understood
- Reacts with bedrock, but for the most part favorably
  - After development, direct use of working fluid in machinery may be possible
- Mobility higher and pressure drop lower than water at depth
  - Viscosity / Density favorable
- “Negative” pumping power
  - Strong thermal siphon
- Lower specific heat than water
  - But more than compensated by flow rate
- Temperature loss up-hole more complex
  - Think isentropic expansion
- “Lost” CO2 in the process is sequestered in deep rock (carbonates)
  - And that by itself is good
- Very high purchase price
  - And carbon credits are currently trading at low values

The yellows and greens are interesting, but the barrier to date, with a big “B”, is the purchase price of CO2.
How Much CO2?
EOR Will in General Use More (per acre) than EGS, but even EGS Uses a Lot…

The mass of CO2 required to charge a given reservoir is a function of the density (average at temperature and depth), volume, and porosity.

- The “dot” is at ~$4M per MWe, e.g.
  - 50 MW, 1 km^3
  - 0.1% porosity
  - 700 ktons CO2
  - $210M @ $300/ton

A big barrier for early implementation of EGS-CO2 is CO2 cost / technical risk.
Removing the CO2 Cost Barrier

• Highly efficient, and highly non-emissive power systems can be operated with enriched air (or pure oxygen)
  – The NOx emission problem can essentially go away; enabling higher combustion temperatures / greater efficiency
  – From refined fuels, using a FME Dual Fuel genset, modified for closed cycle with EGR, the exhaust stream can be essentially pure CO2 and water
  – From unrefined fuels, using a Plasma Energy process, the same pure gaseous exhaust exists, and metal / salt particulates are captured

• Since both concepts generate net power, and power can be sold or used in lieu of purchased power, the effective cost barrier for CO2 is removed

Removing the CO2 cost barrier enables (reduces cost of) EGS-CO2 research & demonstration work now and ultimately enables broader deployment of EGS-CO2 and Tertiary EOR
Diesel Hybrid CO2 EGS Working Fluid Generation and Processing (EGR and EA)

A simplistic version of the Plasma Energy Process looks similar, with the combustion system in lieu of the Genset.
Air Enrichment is the Key

- The FME diesel can use a lower purity oxygen from a Vacuum Pressure Swing Adsorber (VPSA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect of Enrichment</th>
<th>Engine Inlet</th>
<th>Net Engine Exhaust (After Recirculation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O2</td>
<td>N2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPSA Product</td>
<td>66.0%</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPSA Product</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPSA Product</td>
<td>94.0%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The Plasma Energy System will use the classic GEECO low pressure cryogenic ASU (>99.5% O2)

The use of oxygen enables the Plasma Energy process, and for FME enriched air reduces the compressor power associated with gas separation (Nitrogen) by over an order of magnitude.
Net Cost of CO2
FME Dual Fuel Example with Natural Gas (70 psi)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Excess Air</th>
<th>N2 Adsorbtion</th>
<th>Generator Output</th>
<th>CO2 &amp; N2 Comp.</th>
<th>PVSA</th>
<th>Net CHP</th>
<th>Net Power</th>
<th>Net Cost of CO2 per Ton @ Buying / Selling Electric Rate ($ per kW-hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(kWe)</td>
<td>(hp)</td>
<td>(hp)</td>
<td>(kWe)</td>
<td>(kWe)</td>
<td>$0.05 $0.10 $0.15 $0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>180%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>5888</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>-620</td>
<td>210.62</td>
<td>$210.62 $226.85 $243.07 $259.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>180%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1098</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>140.02</td>
<td>$140.02 $85.64 $31.27 ($23.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>180%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>134.07</td>
<td>$134.07 $73.73 $13.40 ($46.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>180%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>128.11</td>
<td>$128.11 $61.82 ($4.47) ($70.76)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Our FME design goal is basically Case 4**
  - 94% Oxygen (balance mostly Argon) to the engine
  - Reduction in inert compression power to capture CO2 of 97%
  - Net cost of CO2 $0.00 at $0.14 / kW-hr electricity (with expensive gas)
Plasma Energy Thermal CO2 System Flexibility…

- High temperature oxygen combustion system with the flexibility to combust lower-cost, lower rank solid or liquid fuels
- Provides high quality thermal inputs with zero greenhouse gas emissions
- Pure CO2 stream with complete carbon and sulfur capture
- Modular in-field cogen system designed to operate in harsh field condition
- The net result will usually be a lower break even point with respect to local electric rate than with refined fuels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oil Comp</th>
<th>wt frac-rcvd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.8375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.1194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ash</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density, kg/m³</td>
<td>930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHV, kcal/kg</td>
<td>10,535</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outlook / Plans

• GEECO and our partners plan to demonstrate these capabilities, including ultimately the EGS / EOR portion

• We believe the GEECO / FME Dual Fuel approach will work in modular manner, it can go anywhere there is refined fuel, and produce CO2

• The Plasma Energy System, though it has a lower cycle efficiency on the power conversion side (rankine and/or kalina cycle) than a diesel, will often be the right answer since it can burn “anything” that exists in an oil field / refinery and is also adaptable to existing fixed power station conversion (higher power levels)

• Further gains are possible via greater integration with oil field processes, integration of EGS and EOR, and alternative cycles / machinery

• GEECO, Plasma, and FME are dedicated to new solutions to the Nation’s current and future energy crisis that have substantial environmental, energy security, and cost benefit and look forward to working with all of you
Summary

• Next generation Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS) and Tertiary Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) may have at least one thing in common, supercritical CO2

• Gas Equipment Engineering Corporation, founded in 1921 as a producer of CO2, has teamed with Plasma Energy, Fairbanks Morse Engine, and many others in several efforts which pursue the common need of low cost topping systems that enable the research and broader development of EGS-CO2 and Tertiary EOR, as well as new lower or zero emission power generation technology
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