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By Michael P. Poland and Zhong Lu

Abstract

We analyzed hundreds of interferograms of Mount St. Helens produced from radar images acquired by the ERS-1/2, ENVISAT, and RADARSAT satellites during the 1992–2004 preeruptive and 2004–2005 coeruptive periods for signs of deformation associated with magmatic activity at depth. Individual interferograms were often contaminated by atmospheric delay anomalies; therefore, we employed stacking to amplify any deformation patterns that might exist while minimizing random noise. Preeruptive interferograms show no signs of volcanowide deformation between 1992 and the onset of eruptive activity in 2004. Several patches of subsidence in the 1980 debris-avalanche deposit were identified, however, and are thought to be caused by viscoelastic relaxation of loosely consolidated substrate, consolidation of water-saturated sediment, or melting of buried ice. Coeruptive interferometric stacks are dominated by atmospheric noise, probably because individual interferograms span only short time intervals in 2004 and 2005. Nevertheless, we are confident that at least one of the seven coeruptive stacks we constructed is reliable at about the 1-cm level. This stack suggests deflation of Mount St. Helens driven by contraction of a source beneath the volcano.

Introduction

Continuous Global Positioning System (GPS) measurements at Mount St. Helens have provided unequivocal evidence of coeruptive deflation that started in 2004, coincident with the onset of seismicity in late September of that year (Lisowski and others, this volume, chap. 15). These data have been used to model an ellipsoidal source of volume loss between 6 and 12 km depth beneath the volcano (Lisowski and others, this volume, chap. 15; Mastin and others, this volume, chap. 22). In addition, GPS results from in and around the crater, including single-frequency instruments deployed on the growing lava dome, have recorded surface displacements associated with dome extrusion (LaHusen and others, this volume, chap. 16). Interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR) data provide an excellent complement to these GPS data. Though lacking the high temporal resolution of continuous GPS or tiltmeter data, InSAR has the potential to provide much greater spatial resolution of deformation, which is useful both for identifying localized deformation sources and modeling complex source geometries. Further, radar images of Mount St. Helens are available from 1992 onward, making it possible to quantify surface displacements during the 1992–2004 preeruption period, when few other geodetic measurements were collected.

Although InSAR characterizations of surface deformation at shield volcanoes (for example, Amelung and others, 2000a) and calderas (for example, Hooper and others, 2004; Wicks and others, 1998; Wicks and others, 2006) have been quite successful, studies of stratovolcanoes have proven more challenging. This is largely due to the fact that most stratovolcanoes are steep sided and covered to varying degrees by vegetation, snow, and ice, leading to a loss of coherent interferometric signal on and around the volcano, especially for C-band radar wavelengths (Zebker and others, 2000; Lu and others, 2005b; Moran and others, 2006). The great height of many stratovolcanoes, relative to the surrounding terrain, can also create atmospheric conditions that introduce significant artifacts into InSAR results (Beaudecel and others, 2000; Wadge and others, 2006). The combined effects of these conditions prevented the use of coeruptive InSAR data for the analysis of deformation at numerous stratovolcanoes, includ-
ing Galeras, Colombia; Rincon de la Vieja, Costa Rica; Unzen, Japan; and Merapi, Indonesia (Zebker and others, 2000). Even when coeruptive data for a stratovolcano are coherent, there is no guarantee that deformation will be observed, as demonstrated by results from Fuego and Pacaya, Guatemala; Popocatépetl, Mexico; Sakurajima, Japan (Zebker and others, 2000); Irruputuncu (Zebker and others, 2000; Pritchard and Simons, 2004b) and Lascar (Pritchard and Simons, 2002; Pritchard and Simons, 2004a), Chile; and Shishaldin (Moran and others, 2006), Pavlof, Cleveland, and Korovin, Alaska (Lu and others, 2003b). Lu and others (2003b) and Moran and others (2006) suggested that, at least in the case of Shishaldin, the lack of observed line-of-sight displacements in coeruptive InSAR data may be caused by (1) posteruption motion that balanced preeruption displacements, resulting in no net deformation across the time spanned by the interferograms, (2) the occurrence of deformation in “blind zones” where InSAR data are not coherent, or (3) the lack of any significant deformation associated with the eruption. Pritchard and Simons (2004a; 2004b) suggest similar reasons for the lack of observed deformation in InSAR data from Cerro Irruputuncu and Volcán Lascar, two volcanoes in Chile, in addition to the possibility that the magma reservoir may be too deep to produce surface displacements detectable by InSAR.

Poor coherence at a volcano does not necessarily imply that surface displacements cannot be observed by InSAR. Cerro Hudson is a 10-km-diameter, ice-filled caldera in southern Chile. Despite a complete lack of coherence within and limited coherence outside the caldera, displacements were of sufficient magnitude (15-cm line-of-sight inflation over 3 years) to be detected and distinguished from atmospheric noise (Pritchard and Simons, 2004b). Although large displacements were not observed in individual coeruptive interferograms of Soufrière Hills volcano1, Montserrat, Wade and others (2006) identified deformation caused by a variety of processes once they stacked (averaged) multiple interferograms. At stratovolcanoes where coherence is excellent, InSAR studies have resulted in important discoveries of aseismic magma accumulation, including Peulik, Alaska (Lu and others, 2002b) and South Sister, Oregon (Dzurisin and others, 2006; Wicks and others, 2002). In addition, InSAR has been critical for characterizing deformation at many composite volcanoes that have experienced unrest or eruptions, including Westdahl (Lu and others, 2003a), Makushin (Lu and others, 2002a), Akutan (Lu and others, 2000; Lu and others, 2005b), Augustine (Masterlark and others, 2006), and Seguam (Masterlark and Lu, 2004), Alaska; Gada ‘Ale, Ethiopia (Amelung and others, 2000b); and several volcanoes in the central and southern Andes (Pritchard and Simons, 2002; 2004a; 2004b). Clearly, the potential for advancing the understanding of pre- and coeruptive activity at Mount St. Helens through InSAR studies is substantial.

We completed an exhaustive analysis of InSAR data collected from Mount St. Helens between 1992 and 2005 from a variety of satellites, ground tracks, time spans, and satellite viewing geometries. Our goals were to (1) obtain high-spatial-resolution coeruptive surface displacement data of the known volcano-wide deflation (Lisowski and others, this volume, chap. 15) for input into deformation source models, (2) identify any localized displacements that might not be detectable by GPS or other terrestrial measurements, and (3) identify any displacements precursory to the onset of eruptive activity in 2004. Coherence was poor and atmospheric artifacts were significant in most interferograms; nevertheless, we recognized localized displacements unrelated to volcanic activity and modeled coeruptive subsidence (although poor coherence prevented the use of complex model geometries). Preruptive interferograms showed no evidence of precursory deformation; however, displacements localized within the crater cannot be ruled out, owing to poor coherence and atmospheric distortions.

**Methodology**

Traditional InSAR studies combine two radar images of the same area on the ground acquired at different times from nearly the same point in space to determine the deformation over the time spanned along the radar’s line-of-sight (LOS). This procedure, unfortunately, does not produce satisfactory results at Mount St. Helens for three reasons: (1) atmospheric path delays, which often correlate with topography, can introduce artifacts that amount to several centimeters of apparent LOS displacement (fig. 1), (2) interferograms that include scenes acquired during nonsummer months are generally incoherent due to seasonal snow cover, and (3) coherence breaks down quickly over time, causing interferograms that span more than one or two years to be mostly incoherent. In fact, in interferograms spanning several months or more, coherence was generally maintained only on deposits that were emplaced during the explosive eruptions of 1980 (for example, May 18, May 25, June 12, July 22), especially north of the volcano and in the upper North Fork Toutle River. As a result, we relied on interferometric stacks to study deformation of Mount St. Helens.

Stacking is a procedure that adds the LOS displacements from multiple interferograms (which may or may not be overlapping in time) acquired along the same look angle. Dividing the summed displacements by the cumulative time spanned results in an average displacement rate (for example, Fialko and Simons, 2001; Peltzer and others, 2001). The technique decreases the magnitude of random noise (primarily atmospheric artifacts) by $N/u^{1/3}$, where $N$ is the error in a single interferogram and $u$ is the number of interferograms in the stack (Bevington and Robinson, 1992, p. 39–40). For
example, if atmospheric variations typically result in an error of 10 mm/yr, a stack of 25 interferograms would reduce the noise level to about 2 mm/yr (assuming atmospheric path delay errors have similar magnitudes in all interferograms). In contrast, signals that persist over time—including steady deformation due to volcanic or tectonic activity—are emphasized because the signal is manifested in all interferograms in the stack. InSAR stacks have been able to distinguish displacement rates as low as a few millimeters per year (Wright and others, 2001, 2004) but are useful only when the strength and geometry of the deformation source do not vary significantly over time, meaning that nonlinear deformation rates or changes in source location or geometry are not easily recovered in interferometric stacks.

We do not expect changes in source geometry over time to bias the interferometric stacks. The geometry of the magmatic system of Mount St. Helens has been investigated using both petrologic (for example, Rutherford and others, 1985; Cashman, 1988; Cashman, 1992; Pallister and others, 1992) and seismic (Scandone and Malone, 1985; Barker and Malone, 1991; Lees, 1992; Moran, 1994; Musumeci and others, 2002) techniques and includes a reservoir at around 6–10 km depth connected to the surface by a near-vertical conduit. The conduit appears to have been sealed at a depth of about 2 km following the end of the 1980–86 eruptive period (Moran, 1994). Any pre- or coeruptive deformation associated with unrest beginning in 2004 will likely have a source within this system (especially at the levels around the 6–10-km-depth reservoir or 2-km-depth seal).

Similarly, deformation rates during both the pre- and coeruptive periods appear to be approximately linear, so time-variable displacements will not bias deformation rates derived by stacking. Electronic Distance Measurement (EDM) and campaign GPS measurements in the intereruptive period, from late 1986 through the first half of 2004, indicate that little deformation occurred, especially after 1991 (Lisowski and others, this volume, chap. 15). In addition, individual interferograms that span time periods before

Figure 1. Interferogram of Mount St. Helens, Washington, formed from ERS-1 orbit 21415 (August 19, 1995) and ERS-2 orbit 2243 (September 24, 1995). Interferogram spans only 36 days during summer months, hence high level of coherence. Phase correlates strongly with topography, so Mount St. Helens shows apparent line-of-sight (LOS) shortening, while lower valleys to east show LOS shortening. Such behavior is characteristic of strong atmospheric influence. Topographic irregularities caused by areas of no data in SRTM DEM.
the start of the unrest in September 2004 show no unambigu-
ous signs of volcanowide deformation. If preeruptive surface
displacements occurred, the motion must therefore have been
small in magnitude but may have accumulated over time.
Coeruptive displacements, measured by continuous GPS
starting on September 23, 2004, are generally linear after
the first few weeks of the activity (Lisowski and others, this
volume, chap. 15) and suggest only minor temporal or spatial
variations in the contraction of a source at depth beneath
Mount St. Helens.

An unusually large InSAR data set, summarized in
table 1, is available for Mount St. Helens, including multiple
satellites with a variety of imaging geometries. For preerup-
tive time periods, we analyzed data from ERS-1, ERS-2,
and ENVISAT. Usable data from the first two satellites span
1992–2001 and are available for four orbital tracks, whereas
a sufficiently large archive of ENVISAT data is available
from one track to allow an assessment of the surface dis-
placement field in 2003–4. Our coeruptive InSAR data set
includes five ENVISAT and two RADARSAT tracks. All
possible interferograms from each independent track with
perpendicular baselines of less than 300 m were created.

Topographic corrections to interferograms utilized the
30-m resolution Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM)
digital elevation model (DEM), which was generated in 2000
(Farr and Kobrick, 2000). The older National Elevation Data-
set (NED) 30-m DEM, created before 1986, contains fewer
holes than the SRTM data, but its use resulted in deformation
artifacts in interferograms in the area of Mount St. Helens
crater. Significant topographic changes have occurred in the
crater since the end of the 1980–86 eruptive period, most
notably the growth of a glacier that had reached a maximum
thickness of 200 m by the time eruptive activity resumed in
2004 (Schilling and others, 2004; Walder and others, this
volume, chap. 13). The more recent SRTM DEM accounts
for growth of the glacier and other changes since 1986 and
does not introduce significant artifacts related to topographic
change. Interferograms were smoothed using the filtering
strategy of Goldstein and Werner (1998) and unwrapped fol-
lowing Chen and Zebker (2001).

From this collection of interferograms, we omitted all
images that spanned less than 100 days (except for coerup-
tive ENVISAT mode 2, track 385, where the only interfer-
ograms with perpendicular baselines less than 300 m spanned
70 days or less), because images that span such short times
have a low signal-to-noise ratio given the low displacement
rates known from GPS (Lisowski and others, this volume,
chap. 15). There is no upper limit on the time spanned,
although interferograms that showed no or very limited
coherence, including nearly all images that span more than
two years, were omitted from the analysis. Stacks of each
independent track were computed from this modified data
set, and only pixels that are coherent in 60 percent of the
input images are included in the final stack. Five preerup-
tion and seven coercion stacks were created, with 3 to 38 input
interferograms each (table 1).

Preeruptive Stacks

Results

In preeruptive stacks (figs. 2–6), the range of displace-
ments for each track is generally small, suggesting that the
random atmospheric signal has been mostly removed. The lack
of significant topography-correlated phase at Mount Adams
(which we assume to be undeforming, based on the absence of
other signs of unrest) in tracks that both cover and are coher-
ent around both volcanoes (figs. 4, 5) further supports our
assertion that atmospheric noise has been mostly suppressed
by stacking.

None of the preeruptive stacks show any signs of vol-
canowide deformation, suggesting that no significant surface
displacements accumulated over the times spanned by the
stacks. Unfortunately there are no InSAR results available
between late 2001 and early 2003, so we cannot rule out
deformation during this time period. No volcanowide dis-
placements are apparent in the one stack that covers the early
2003 to September 2004 epoch (up to the start of the erup-
tion), suggesting that no centimeter-level displacements on
the flanks of the volcano occurred in the months immediately
preceding the start of the eruption.

Despite this lack of signal, the five preeruption stacks
do reveal at least three localized areas of surface displace-
ment that are unrelated to the magmatic system of Mount St.
Helens. All three regions are patches of subsidence located
on the May 18, 1980, debris-avalanche deposit (fig. 2). The
area with the highest subsidence rate, as much as 15 mm/yr
(LOS), is directly north of the crater (site 1 in fig. 2), near the
base of Johnston Ridge, and had been recognized previously in
individual interferograms by Diefenbach and Poland (2003).
A second, less distinct area located near the outlet of Coldwa-
ter Lake (site 2 in fig. 2) is subsiding at a rate of slightly less
than 5 mm/yr (LOS). The third patch of subsidence, which
is sinking at an LOS rate of approximately 6 mm/yr, is in the
North Fork Toutle River valley, just southeast of Elk Rock and
immediately upstream from a valley constriction (site 3 in fig.
2). The latter two patches had not been recognized previously.

The stacks presented in figures 2 through 6 give average
deformation rates. To assess whether or not the subsidence
rates of the three localized patches changed during 1992–
2005, we used the individual unwrapped interferograms to
reconstruct the temporal evolution of the deformation follow-
ing the method of Berardino and others (2002). At Mount St.
Helens, all five preeruption stacks suggest a constant subsid-
ence rate of the area near the base of Johnston Ridge (fig. 7A).
The four ERS-1/2 tracks are directly comparable in these time
series, because they have similar look angles (table 1), and we
assume that the deformation is dominated by vertical motion.
In addition, the ENVISAT mode 2, track 156, time series con-
firms that the subsidence was continuing at least into 2004 at
a similar rate. Subsidence near the mouth of Coldwater Lake
(fig. 7B) and below Elk Rock (fig. 7C) may have decayed
Table 1. Characteristics of SAR data from Mount St. Helens, Washington, used in stacks and corresponding figures.

[Mode not shown for ERS-1/2 satellite data, which have only one mode. RADARSAT does not use track numbers. A, ascending; D, descending. Incidence angle is measured in degrees from vertical. Number of interferograms (igrams) indicates those used to construct the stack. Figure numbers correspond to this chapter.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satellite</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Track</th>
<th>A or D</th>
<th>Incidence angle (deg)</th>
<th>Years spanned</th>
<th>Number of igrams</th>
<th>Figure No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preeruptive SAR data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERS-1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>1992–2001</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERS-1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>1993–2000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERS-1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>1992–2001</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERS-1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>1995–2000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVISAT</td>
<td>IS 2</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>2003–2004</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coeruptive SAR data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satellite</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Track</th>
<th>A or D</th>
<th>Incidence angle (deg)</th>
<th>Years spanned</th>
<th>Number of igrams</th>
<th>Figure No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENVISAT</td>
<td>IS 2</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>2004–2005</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVISAT</td>
<td>IS 2</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>2004–2005</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVISAT</td>
<td>IS 2</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>2004–2005</td>
<td>3¹</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVISAT</td>
<td>IS 2</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>2004–2005</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVISAT</td>
<td>IS 2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>2004–2005</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RADARSAT</td>
<td>S 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>2004–2005</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RADARSAT</td>
<td>S 5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>2004–2005</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Note that all three input interferograms span 70 days or less.

Figure 2. ERS-1/2, track 156, interferometric stack of Mount St. Helens, Washington, composed of 36 interferograms spanning preeruptive 1992–2001 period. Inset shows location of stack. Locations of major features referred to in the text are labeled. Image shows three areas of subsidence in debris-avalanche deposit—1 (Johnston Ridge), 2 (Coldwater), and 3 (Elk Rock).
Figure 4. ERS-1/2, track 385, interferometric stack of Mount St. Helens, Washington, composed of 38 interferograms spanning preeruptive 1992–2001 time period.
slightly over the observation period, although the data are too noisy to be certain. Both regions, however, are apparent in coeruptive stacks that span 2004–5 (figs. 8–14), so neither area had ceased deforming by 2005.

Discussion

Lack of Preeruption Deformation

Dzurisin (2003) postulated that deep magma accumulation should precede volcanic unrest and eruption and might have gone undetected prior to the May 18, 1980, eruption of Mount St. Helens. He also suggested that InSAR is perhaps the best tool for detecting such deformation, as exemplified by discoveries of aseismic inflation at South Sister (Wicks and others, 2002) and Peulik (Lu and others, 2002b) volcanoes. Our results rule out surface displacements on the order of 1 cm or greater due to magma accumulation beneath Mount St. Helens between 1992 and late 2001 and between 2003 and the start of the 2004 eruption. It is unlikely that the period not covered by the InSAR stacks was the only time that displacements occurred; therefore, it is probable that no volcanowide
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displacements occurred during 1992–2004. How can this result be reconciled with Dzurisin’s (2003) proposal?

Seismic and geodetic evidence from the late 1980s and early 1990s favor repressurization and possible resupply of the magma body located at about 6–10 km depth. Moran (1994) examined patterns of seismicity between 1987 and 1992 and concluded that the approximately 6- to 10-km-deep reservoir was being pressurized, probably by volatiles that exsolved during magma crystallization and were trapped beneath a seal in the shallow conduit. Seismicity, gas-emission events, and small explosions in 1989–91 may have been related to rupturing of this seal and escape of volatiles (Mastin, 1994). A seismic swarm in 1998 was accompanied by the emission of CO₂ (Gerlach and others, this volume, chap. 26), but whether this seismicity was related to magma migration or only the ascent of magmatic gases is unclear. Magma accumulation below 5.5 km depth is proposed by Musumeci and others (2002) to explain patterns of relocated seismicity. Lisowski and others (this volume, chap. 15) analyzed trilateration data collected in 1982 and 1991 and GPS data from 2000 and found evidence for areal dilatation between 1982 and 1991 that may indicate magma recharge. No significant changes were observed during the 1991–2000 time period. It is possible that magma was accumulating beneath Mount St. Helens only during the late 1980s and early 1990s and was manifested by deep seismicity, areal dilatation, and phreatic explosions (Mastin, 1994; Moran, 1994; Musumeci and others, 2002; Moran and others, this volume, chap. 2).

It seems that there is ample evidence for a pressure increase—either due to exsolution of volatiles, intrusion of new magma, or both—at ~6–10 km depth beneath Mount St. Helens in the years between the end of eruptive activity in 1986 and the start of the 2004 eruption. Changes in pressure or volume at this depth, if large enough, should result in displacements observable by InSAR because they would extend well outside the incoherent crater area without being overly broad or diffuse. The fact that no inflation was observed by InSAR during 1992–2004 (assuming that no deformation occurred during late 2001 to early 2003, when no InSAR data are available) suggests that either (1) the magnitude of volume increase (if magma accumulation occurred) or pressure increase (if only gas exsolution occurred) was insufficient to produce measurable surface displacements, (2) the increase in pressure or volume was accommodated by inelastic processes that did not deform the surface, or (3) the increase in pressure or volume occurred prior to 1992. Distinguishing among these mechanisms is not possible at present, although seismic and geodetic data (discussed above) that were collected after the end of the 1980–86 eruptive period and before InSAR results became available in 1992 favor option 3.
Figure 7. Time series showing line-of-sight (LOS) displacements of subsidence patches at (A) Johnston Ridge (site 1 in fig. 2), (B) Coldwater (site 2 in fig. 2), and (C) Elk Rock (site 3 in fig. 2). LOS displacements are relative to one another, so absolute value of Y axis is less important than changes in value over time. Note that more than 35 interferograms were used to generate time series for ERS tracks 156 and 385, whereas only 6–7 interferograms were used for ERS tracks 163 and 392, and ENVISAT track 156. Deformation rates determined from tracks with relatively few input interferograms could therefore be biased by atmospheric delay anomalies.
**Subsidence Patches in the Debris-Avalanche Deposit**

The origin of the localized subsidence patches on the debris-avalanche deposit is difficult to constrain and may be a result of several different processes. All three patches occur on the 1980 debris-avalanche deposit. Pyroclastic-flow deposits emplaced during explosive eruptions in 1980 bury the debris-avalanche unit at the base of Johnston Ridge near patch 1 but are not present at the other two patches (Rowley and others, 1981). The displacement patterns resemble poroelastic deformation caused by groundwater withdrawal (for example, Bawden and others, 2001), but there has been no deliberate removal of water from the debris-avalanche deposit. The subsidence has been occurring since at least 1992, and probably much longer, so subsidence mechanisms that occur on short time scales (days to months), including release of gas (Matthews and others, 2003), hydrocompaction due to rainfall (Hoblitt and others, 1985), and poroelastic deformation of the substrate (Lu and others, 2005a) cannot account for the longevity of the observed deformation and the lack of significant changes in subsidence rate over time (fig. 7). Instead, the deformation must be related to long-lived activity associated with the May 18, 1980, debris-avalanche deposit. Processes that have the potential to cause years-long subsidence of a loosely consolidated volcanic deposit like the Mount St. Helens debris-avalanche unit include cooling and contraction of an initially hot deposit (Masterlark and others, 2006), loading of a viscoelastic substrate (Briole and others, 1997; Stevens and others, 2001; Lu and others, 2005a; Masterlark and others, 2006), consolidation of a saturated or unusually dilated deposit (Major, 2000), and melting of buried ice (Branney and Gilbert, 1995; Everest and Bradwell, 2003).

The debris-avalanche deposit was emplaced onto a substrate of Tertiary volcanic rocks, with volcaniclastic sediments along river terraces of, and in, the upper part of the North Fork Toutle River valley and other drainages (Crandell, 1987; Evarts and others, 1987). The loosely consolidated debris-avalanche deposit has a volume of 2,500×10^6 m^3, about 12 percent of the total volume of the deposit (Glicken, 1996). A ground-water system developed in the unit following emplacement, as confirmed by numerous springs and seeps in the deposit, and seasonal changes in pond levels (J. Major, oral commun., 2006). Some parts of the debris-avalanche deposit were also saturated on emplacement, including two of the three patches of subsidence observed by InSAR (at the outlet of Coldwater Lake and below Elk Rock). The area below Elk Rock may have been the source of the May 18, 1980, North Fork Toutle River lahar (Fairchil, 1987; Glicken, 1996), and mud volcanoes caused by dewatering were observed near the outlet of Coldwater Lake in 1981 (J. Major, oral commun, 2006). In the weeks following May 18, 1980, Banks and Hoblitt (1996) measured the temperature of the deposit in shallow holes and found temperatures to be less than 100°C, with no increase in temperature below about 1.5 m. These data suggest that the maximum temperature of the deposit was no greater than boiling (Voight and others, 1981; Banks and Hoblitt, 1996), although temperatures probably varied widely depending on deposit composition (for example, shattered cryptodome versus cold volcanic edifice).

The initial emplacement temperature of the deposit would have to have been unreasonably high to cause subsidence due to cooling and contraction, as suggested by analogy with pyroclastic flows emplaced in 1986 at Augustine Volcano, Alaska. Masterlark and others (2006) used the known 3 cm/yr subsidence rate of Augustine’s 1986 deposits (measured 13 years after the flows were emplaced) and the known maximum 126-m deposit thickness to model an initial temperature of 640°C. Decreasing the initial temperature to 500°C required a maximum pyroclastic-flow thickness of 336 m to produce the same subsidence rate. By analogy, the debris-avalanche deposit at Mount St. Helens would need to have an emplacement temperature of over 600°C to produce the subsidence observed by InSAR. Considering the small amount of hot cryptodome incorporated in the deposit and relatively low measured temperatures, it is unlikely that the temperature of significant volumes of the unit approached the required several hundred degrees.

Subsidence in response to a recently emplaced load occurs as the substrate relaxes viscoelastically beneath the load. The magnitude of the subsidence should be directly proportional to the size of the load and the thickness of the substrate (Briole and others, 1997). Subsidence patches on the Mount St. Helens debris-avalanche deposit, however, do not correlate with deposit thickness, although heterogeneity in pre-1980 surficial geology could still allow for subsidence due to loading. Loosely consolidated, relatively low-density sediment in and around the North Fork Toutle valley may be compacting under the load of the debris-avalanche deposit. Subsidence would occur only on parts of the debris-avalanche deposit that overlie accumulated sediment, explaining the patchiness of the subsidence and the fact that deformation occurs mostly where the unit lies near or above the buried channel and floodplains of the North Fork Toutle River. Testing this hypothesis is...
difficult without additional knowledge of the thickness and extent of sedimentary deposits prior to the catastrophic debris avalanche of May 18, 1980.

Consolidation of granular deposits is a function of water content and diffusivity; compaction and subsidence occur in saturated deposits as water is removed (Major, 2000). Localized subsidence undoubtedly resulted as water seeped from saturated parts of the debris-avalanche deposit, and dewatering persisted through 2005 on the basis of springs and seeps found throughout the unit (J. Major, oral commun., 2006). Depending on the diffusivity and saturated thickness of the deposit, gravitational consolidation resulting from dewatering and diffusion of fluid pressure in excess of hydrostatic pressure could last for years, causing subsidence for decades after the deposit was emplaced (Major, 2000).

Melting of buried ice as a long-term subsidence mechanism could only occur if ice within the debris-avalanche deposit melted slowly over decades. In cold deposits (for example, the terminal moraine of a retreating glacier), buried ice can persist for hundreds of years (Everest and Bradwell, 2003). Could ice have survived more than 25 years buried in the Mount St. Helens debris-avalanche deposit? The answer depends on the deposit temperature and whether ice survived as large blocks or pulverized grains. If the entire deposit were initially about boiling (Banks and Hoblitt, 1996), there is little chance that even large blocks of ice (25–50 m in diameter) could have survived more than a few months after May 18, 1980 (Fairchild, 1987). Some parts of the debris-avalanche deposit were probably at ambient temperature, however, because much of the collapsed edifice, including most of the first landslide block, was composed of the cold outer skin of the volcano (including glacial ice; Glicken, 1996). Evidence for long-term survival of buried ice at Mount St. Helens is suggested by the discovery of subsurface ice during excavations near Spirit Lake by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers almost 2 years after the May 18, 1980, eruption (Glicken, 1996). Slow melting of buried ice would account for the patchiness of the subsidence, as well as the lack of correlation between debris avalanche thickness and subsidence magnitude. There is, unfortunately, no way to know whether or not buried ice is the source of subsidence of the Mount St. Helens debris-avalanche deposit, but it remains a viable mechanism.

All of the possible subsidence mechanisms are asymptotic processes, meaning that subsidence rates of the debris-avalanche deposit patches should decay over time. No significant rate changes are apparent from the InSAR time series (fig. 7), so the mechanism of subsidence for the debris-avalanche deposit patches must be a long-term process, with changes in the rate of deformation requiring decades to manifest. Based on the temperature and composition of the debris-avalanche deposit and substrate, the observed patches of subsidence may be caused by differential compaction of sedimentary substrate along the buried North Fork Toutle River, gravitational consolidation of locally saturated or unusually dilated areas of the debris-avalanche deposit, or melting of buried ice. Using current knowledge, the relative contributions of these mechanisms cannot be determined; thus all three processes are viable causes for localized areas of subsidence on the May 18, 1980, debris-avalanche deposit.

**Coeruptive stacks**

**Results**

Unlike the preeruptive stacks, coeruptive stacks are inconsistent and heavily affected by atmospheric artifacts (figs. 8 through 14). The seven coeruptive stacks show all possible deformation patterns—no surface displacements, volcanowide inflation, and volcanowide deflation. Deflation of Mount St. Helens is suggested by ENVISAT mode 2, track 163 (fig. 9), ENVISAT mode 6, track 20 (fig. 12), and RADARSAT mode 2 (fig. 13). No deformation is apparent in the ENVISAT mode 2, track 156 (fig. 8), ENVISAT mode 2, track 385 (fig. 10), and ENVISAT mode 2, track 392 (fig. 11) stacks. Inflation, at least in two quadrants of the volcano, is indicated in the RADARSAT mode 5 (fig. 14) stack. These contradictory results can be reconciled by examining the character of the subsidence patch located at the base of Johnston Ridge, which was probably active during the coeruptive time period based on its persistence during the preeruptive interval and lack of decay over time (fig. 7A). This feature is only apparent in ENVISAT mode 2, track 163 (fig. 9), ENVISAT mode 6, track 20 (fig. 12), and the two RADARSAT beam modes (figs. 13 and 14). Stacks that do not show the subsidence patches are not sensitive to displacement rates of 2–3 cm/yr, on the same order as the expected volcanowide deflation signal measured by GPS (Lisowski and others, this volume, chap. 15).

The three interferograms that show volcanowide subsidence (figs. 9, 12, 13) are the stacks produced from at least nine input interferograms (table 1). We suspect that the averaged range changes in figures 8, 10, 11, and 14 are biased by atmospheric delay anomalies due to the limited number of interferograms used in the stacks. These stacks should not be considered for further analysis. Atmospheric delay artifacts in the stacks may also be recognized by topography-correlated fringes at presumably undeforming mountains also covered by the scenes (Beaudecel and others, 2000). Unfortunately, no mountains other than Mount St. Helens are coherent within, or covered by, the ENVISAT mode 2, track 163 (fig. 9) and ENVISAT mode 6, track 20 (fig. 12) stacks, and their quality cannot be assessed independently. Mount Adams, 60 km east of Mount St. Helens, has no associated phase-correlated topography in the RADARSAT mode 2 stack (fig. 13) which, together with the debris-avalanche subsidence patches also visible in that image, suggests that the stack is sensitive to deformation on the order of 1 cm/yr. As a result, the stack that is most representative of the coeruptive deformation state of Mount St. Helens is RADARSAT mode 2 (fig. 13). This stack suggests
Figure 8. ENVISAT mode 2, track 156, interferometric stack of Mount St. Helens, Washington, composed of seven interferograms spanning coeruptive 2004–5 time period.

Figure 9. ENVISAT mode 2, track 163, interferometric stack of Mount St. Helens, Washington, composed of 13 interferograms spanning coeruptive 2004–5 time period.
Figure 10. ENVISAT mode 2, track 385, interferometric stack of Mount St. Helens, Washington, composed of three interferograms spanning coeruptive 2004–5 time period. All three interferograms cover times of 70 days or fewer, so stacked phase has low signal-to-noise ratio and is characterized by phase that correlates with topography. This is especially apparent at Mount Adams.
**Figure 11.** ENVISAT mode 2, track 392, interferometric stack of Mount St. Helens, Washington, composed of six interferograms spanning coeruptive 2004–5 time period.

**Figure 12.** ENVISAT mode 6, track 20, interferometric stack of Mount St. Helens, Washington, composed of 13 interferograms spanning coeruptive 2004–5 time period.
Figure 13. RADARSAT standard mode 2 ascending interferometric stack of Mount St. Helens, Washington, composed of nine interferograms spanning coeruptive 2004–5 time period. Stack clearly shows line-of-sight lengthening (subsidence) centered on Mount St. Helens which, as discussed in text, most likely reflects deformation of the ground surface. Atmospheric artifacts are probably minimized, as suggested by the lack of a significant signal associated with Mount Adams.
Figure 14. RADARSAT standard mode 5 ascending interferometric stack of Mount St. Helens, Washington, composed of six interferograms spanning coeruptive 2004–5 time period. Topography-correlated phase at Mount Hood suggests the presence of atmospheric artifacts in the stack.
LOS lengthening (subsidence) of at least 40–50 mm/yr centered on Mount St. Helens (the maximum is probably greater but not recoverable given the incoherence in the crater) and extends radially outwards beyond the flanks of the volcano.

**Discussion**

We modeled the RADARSAT mode 2 dataset (fig. 13) by inverting the LOS displacements for a buried point source of volume change (Mogi, 1958). Other types of sources (for example, an ellipsoid or circular crack) might yield a better fit to the data, but it is difficult to justify the use of more complicated source geometries in light of the limited coherence around Mount St. Helens, especially in the crater area where the deformation appears to reach a maximum. The best-fitting point source is located at a depth of 12 km directly beneath the crater of Mount St. Helens and has a volume loss of $27 \times 10^6$ m$^3$/yr (fig. 15). The depth compares favorably with a point source model based on GPS data (Lisowski and others, this volume, chap. 15), but it is deeper than more-complicated ellipsoidal source models (Lisowski and others, this volume, chap. 15; Mastin and others, this volume, chap. 22) and the 6–10-km-depth range for a proposed magma reservoir based on seismic data (Scandone and Malone, 1985; Barker and Malone, 1991; Moran, 1994; Musumeci and others, 2002). The greater InSAR-derived model depth is probably due to the lack of InSAR data in the crater area and the availability of only a single component of displacement from one interferometric stack.

We also modeled the data using a horizontal dislocation (Okada, 1985) constrained to uniform opening only, which approximates a sill. The best-fitting dislocation was located at a depth of 18 km, much deeper than the point source, but it had a similar volume change of about $30 \times 10^6$ m$^3$/yr. The difference in depths between the point source and dislocation models is unsurprising. A similar relation, for example, was found between point source and horizontal dislocation models of subsidence at Medicine Lake volcano, northern California (Dzurisin and others, 2002). The great variability in depths between the models is an indication of the strong dependence of model fits on model geometry (Delaney and McTigue, 1994). More data, especially from the crater region where displacement magnitudes are greatest, and varied look angles, which would provide additional components of displacement, are required to better constrain the geometry, volume change, and depth of the subsidence source, as well as model error estimates. Using only a single interferometric stack, results are unavoidably more ambiguous.

The modeled volume change is much smaller than the approximately $70 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ extruded over the first year of the eruption, as determined from analyses of digital elevation models (Schilling and others, this volume, chap. 8). Some of the difference may be explained by the fact that the stack averages the initially greater rates of subsidence and extrusion that occurred during the first few weeks of the eruption, but this is probably a minor effect. It is tempting to speculate that most of the discrepancy between modeled and extruded volumes is evidence for replenishment of the midcrustal magma reservoir by an even deeper source. Delaney and McTigue (1994), however, pointed out that the volume of injection should only equal the volume of eruption if the host rock is incompressible—clearly an unrealistic condition. They also demonstrated that modeled volume changes at depth are highly dependent on source geometry. In addition, our models assume a homogenous, isotropic, elastic rheology, which is almost certainly not the case beneath Mount St. Helens, where temperatures will be
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Conclusions

Interferograms from several independent tracks have been examined for signs of pre- and coeruptive deformation at Mount St. Helens. We can find no evidence for volcano-wide displacements during time periods covered by stacks of radar interferograms (1992 to late 2001 and early 2003 until the onset of eruptive activity in September 2004). Seismic and geodetic evidence suggests repressurization, and possible resupply, of the 6- to 10-km-depth magma reservoir during the late 1980s and early 1990s, but this activity did not result in surface deformation detectable by InSAR during 1992–2004. We did find several small (1–2-km-diameter) patches of subsidence on the 1980 debris-avalanche deposit, one of which was recognized previously from individual interferograms of Mount St. Helens, and attribute this deformation to viscoelastic relaxation of loosely consolidated substrate under a load, differential consolidation of the deposit, or melting of ice buried within the deposit. Tracking the continued motion of these areas will serve as input for models of the post-depositional behavior of rapidly emplaced, unconsolidated deposits.

Coeruptive interferometric stacks are dominated by atmospheric noise, and only one of the seven stacks we assembled is demonstrably sensitive to deformation on the order of 1 cm or less. This stack indicates volcano-wide deflation centered on the crater of Mount St. Helens and can be modeled by a point source of volume loss at a depth of 12 km beneath the edifice. This depth is at the lower boundary of a magma reservoir inferred from seismicity before 2004.

Both the pre- and coeruptive InSAR results have important implications for magma resupply, or lack thereof, before and during the 2004 eruption. Several papers in this volume address this question from differing perspectives and reach differing conclusions (for example, Moran and others, chap. 2; Dzurisin and others, chap. 14; Lisowski and others, chap. 15; Mastin and others, chap. 22; Gerlach and others, chap. 26; Pallister and others, chap. 30). Neither InSAR nor any other single data set can resolve the issue with certainty, but the weight of evidence seems to favor at least a minor amount of magma influx or pressurization of the midcrustal magma reservoir in the years before, and perhaps during, the eruption. The InSAR results, although not directly supportive of the idea, can be reconciled with it if the preeruption changes were small enough, accommodated by inelastic processes that did not measurably deform the surface, or occurred before 1992, and if the coeruptive changes reflect a net deflation of the volcano due to extrusion outpacing recharge.

It is worth noting that, in general, the RADARSAT interferometric data are quite coherent. Coeruptive interferograms, with less than nine input interferograms showed no signs of deflation that is known to be occurring from GPS. That our preeruptive stacks span many more years and make use of many more interferograms than the coeruptive stacks is the reason for the better signal-to-noise ratio in the preeruption stacks. The addition to the coeruptive stacks of InSAR data that extend through 2006 and beyond, assuming the eruption continues, will significantly reduce the magnitude of the atmospheric noise, allowing for more detailed analysis of surface deformation and associated source mechanisms.
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