

THEMIS SIGNAL ANALYSIS STATISTICS RESEARCH PROGRAM

MINIMAL SUFFICIENT STATISTICS FOR THE GROUP DIVISIBLE
PARTIALLY BALANCED INCOMPLETE BLOCK DESIGN (GD-PBIBD)
WITH INTERACTION UNDER AN EISENHART MODEL II

by

C. H. Kapadia and David L. Weeks

Technical Report No. 69
Department of Statistics THEMIS Contract

May 30, 1970

Research sponsored by the Office of Naval Research
Contract N00014-68-A-0515
Project NR 042-260

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted
for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release
and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
Southern Methodist University

Minimal Sufficient Statistics for the Group Divisible
Partially Balanced Incomplete Block Design (GD-PBIBD)
with Interaction Under an Eisenhart Model II

by

C. H. Kapadia*
Southern Methodist University
Dallas, Texas

and David L. Weeks
Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, Oklahoma

1. Introduction and Summary: This paper exhibits a set of minimal sufficient statistics together with the distribution of each statistic in the set for the GD-PBIBD with p replications per cell under the assumption that there is an Eisenhart Model II [4] with interaction. The Rao-Blackwell theorem [1,11] states that, if a minimum variance unbiased estimator exists, it must be an explicit function of the minimal sufficient statistics. It will be shown that the family of joint distributions of the minimal sufficient statistics is not complete, and so the question of existence of uniform minimum variance unbiased estimators is yet to be solved. This article should be regarded as a generalization of [6], [12] and some results given in [5] and [13].
2. Method: It is clear from [5], [6], [12], [13] that the derivation of a minimal sufficient set of statistics essentially hinges on the construction of an orthogonal matrix. Regarding the problem under consideration, the construction of an orthogonal matrix was based on the results of [6] and [7]. When the quadratic form of the joint probability density function of the normal variables in the vector of observations, say Y , is operated on by the orthogonal matrix, the quadratic form is reduced to a form which gives a set of sufficient statistics [9, 10]. The minimality of the set is then established by

*This research was sponsored in part by the Office of Naval Research, Contract No. N00014-68-A-0515, Project No. NR 042-260.

the scheme given by Lehmann and Scheffé' [8].

3. Definitions and Assumptions: The definition of GD-PBIBD and various relationships which hold for such a design can be found in [2]. Since the purpose of this paper is to consider block treatment interaction, we shall assume that we have p observations per cell. Specifically we assume the model

$$(I) \quad y_{ijk} = \mu + \beta_i + \tau_j + (\beta\tau)_{ij} + e_{ijk}$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, b; j = 1, 2, \dots, t; k = 1, 2, \dots, p$

$$n_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if treatment } j \text{ does not appear in block } i, \\ 1, 2, \dots, p & \text{if treatment } j \text{ appears in block } i. \end{cases}$$

The observations y_{ij0} do not exist.

In matrix notation, the bkp observations described by (I) can be written as:

$$(II) \quad Y = \mu j_1^{b \times kp} + X_1\beta + X_2\tau + X_3(\beta\tau) + e \quad \text{where the dimension of the matrices in (II) are:}$$

$Y(bkp \times 1), X_1(bkp \times b), \beta(b \times 1), X_2(bkp \times t), \tau(t \times 1), X_3(bkp \times bk),$
 $(\beta\tau) (bk \times 1), e(bkp \times 1), \mu(1 \times 1),$ and j_1^u or j_u^1 is a $u \times 1$ or $1 \times u$ vector with all elements equal to one. This notation will be used throughout this paper and J_v^u will denote an $u \times v$ matrix with all elements equal to one. Under an Eisenhart Model II, we have the following distributional properties for the vectors $e, \beta, \tau, (\beta\tau)$.

- (a) e is distributed (\sim) as the multivariate normal (MVN) mean $0(\emptyset)$ and covariance matrix $\sigma^2 I_{b \times kp}$, that is, $e \sim \text{MVN}(\emptyset, \sigma^2 I_{b \times kp})$;
- (b) $\beta \sim \text{MVN}(\emptyset, \sigma_1^2 I_b)$;
- (c) $\tau \sim \text{MVN}(\emptyset, \sigma_2^2 I_t)$;

- (d) $(\beta\tau) \sim \text{MVN}(\emptyset, \sigma_3^2 I_{bk})$
 (e) $\text{Cov}(\beta, \tau) = \emptyset$, $\text{Cov}[\beta, (\beta\tau)] = \emptyset$, $\text{Cov}[\tau, (\beta\tau)] = \emptyset$, $\text{Cov}(e, \beta) = \emptyset$,
 $\text{Cov}(e, \beta) = \emptyset$, $\text{Cov}[e, (\beta\tau)] = \emptyset$
 (f) μ is a scalar constant.

The following relationships hold for the matrix model and the prime(*) will denote the transpose of a matrix throughout this paper:

- (1) $X_1^* X_1 = pkI_b$, (2) $X_2^* X_2 = rpI_t$, (3) $X_3^* X_3 = pI_{bk}$
 (4) $J_{bkp}^{bkp} X_1 = pkJ_b^{bkp}$, (5) $J_b^{bkp} X_1^* = J_{bkp}^{bkp}$, (6) $J_{bkp}^{bkp} X_2 = rpJ_t^{bkp}$
 (7) $J_t^{bkp} X_2^* = J_{bkp}^{bkp}$, (8) $J_{bkp}^{bkp} X_3 = bJ_{bk}^{bkp}$, (9) $J_{bk}^{bkp} X_3^* = J_{bkp}^{bkp}$
 (10) If $X_2^* X_1 = N$, $NN^* = p^2[rB_0 + \lambda_1 B_1 + \lambda_2 B_2]$ where B's are defined in [3]
 and $B_0 = I_t$, $B_0 + B_1 + B_2 = J_t^t$, (11) If $X_3^* X_1 = M$, $MM^* = p^2 kI_b$
 (12) If $X_3^* X_2 = L$, $LL^* = p^2 rI_t$
 (13) If $(X_2^* - p^{-1}k^{-1}X_1^* N^*) = A$, $A^* X_2 = (prI_t - p^{-1}k^{-1}NN^*)$
 $= [prI_t - pk^{-1}(rB_0 + \lambda_1 B_1 + \lambda_2 B_2)]$
 $= \frac{p}{k}[r(k-1)B_0 - \lambda_1 B_1 - \lambda_2 B_2]$
 (14) $A^* X_1 = \emptyset$, (15) $ML^* = pN$, (16) $J_t^t N = pkJ_b^t$, (17) $L^* J_t^t N = p^2 kJ_b^{lk}$
 (18) $J_t^t L = pJ_{bk}^t$, (19) $L^* J_t^t N M = p^3 kJ_{bk}^{bk}$, (20) $L^* J_t^t L = p^2 J_{bk}^{bk}$

$$(21) \quad N' J_t^t N = p^2 k J_b^b \quad (22) \quad M' N' J_t^t = p^2 k J_t^{bk}$$

$$(23) \quad X_1 X_1' X_3 X_3' = X_3 X_3' X_1 X_1' = p X_1 X_1' \quad , \quad (24) \quad X_2 X_2' X_3 X_3' = X_3 X_3' X_2 X_2' = p X_2 X_2' \quad ,$$

$$(25) \quad \text{If } F' = X_3' - p^{-1} k^{-1} M' X_1' - k[(rk-r+\lambda_1)p]^{-1} (L' - p^{-1} k^{-1} M' N')$$

$$\cdot [I_t - (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_2 t)^{-1} (B_0 + B_1)] A'$$

then $F' j_1^{b k p} = \emptyset$, $F' X_1 = \emptyset$, $F' X_2 = \emptyset$ and $p^{-1} F' F$ is an idempotent matrix of rank $b k - b - t + 1$.

It is clear from the assumptions made for the matrix model (II) that Y is distributed as the multivariate normal with mean $\bar{\mu}$ and covariance matrix γ where

$$\bar{\mu} = E(Y) = \mu j_1^{b k p} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\gamma = E(Y - \bar{\mu})(Y - \bar{\mu})' = (X_1 X_1' \sigma_1^2 + X_2 X_2' \sigma_2^2 + X_3 X_3' \sigma_3^2 + \sigma^2 I_{b k p})$$

The joint density of the elements of Y is given by

$$(III) \quad g(Y, \gamma) = (2\pi)^{-b k p / 2} |\gamma|^{-1/2} \exp[-2^{-1} (Y - \bar{\mu})' \gamma^{-1} (Y - \bar{\mu})]$$

where γ is a vector of parameters $\mu, \sigma, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$, and σ_3 .

4. Development of a Minimal Sufficient Set of Statistics:

Let $X = (j_1^{b k p}, X_1, X_2, X_3)$. Since XX' is symmetric, there exists an orthogonal matrix Q such that $Q'XX'Q = D$ where D is a diagonal matrix. The rank of XX' for the GD-PBIBD is $b k$. Hence, we can have

$$Q'XX'Q = \begin{bmatrix} C' \\ P_5' \end{bmatrix} [J_{b k p}^{b k p} + X_1 X_1' + X_2 X_2' + X_3 X_3'] (C, P_5) = \begin{bmatrix} D^* & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \emptyset \end{bmatrix}$$

where we have partitioned Q into (C, P_5) and D^* is $b k \times b k$. From

this it follows that $P_5' (J_{b k p}^{b k p} + X_1 X_1' + X_2 X_2' + X_3 X_3') P_5 = \emptyset$. Since

the matrices $J_{b_k p}^{b_k p}$, $X_1 X_1^*$, $X_2 X_2^*$, $X_3 X_3^*$ are positive semi-definite, it follows that P_5 is a set of $b_k(p-1)$ orthogonal vectors such that

$$P_5^* j_1^{b_k p} = \emptyset, \quad P_5^* X_1 = \emptyset, \quad P_5^* X_2 = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad P_5^* X_3 = \emptyset.$$

The characteristic roots of NN^* [3] are $p^2 rk$, $p^2(rk - \lambda_2 t)$, $p^2(r - \lambda_1)$ with multiplicities 1, $m-1$, and $m(n-1)$ respectively. Let $p^2(rk - \lambda_2 t) = \theta_1$ and $p^2(r - \lambda_1) = \theta_2$. The GD-PBIBD is classified as Singular (S), Semi-Regular (SR), or Regular (R), according as $\theta_2 = 0$, $\theta_1 = 0$, or neither of them is equal to zero. For brevity, a minimal set of sufficient statistics for the R-GD-PBIBD will be derived and from this result it will be easy to exhibit the minimal sets of sufficient statistics for S and SR-GD-PBIBD. Since NN^* is symmetric there exists an orthogonal matrix Q_3 such that

$$Q_3^* NN^* Q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} p^2 rk & \emptyset & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \theta_1 I_{m-1} & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \emptyset & \theta_2 I_{m(n-1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Partition Q_3 into (P_{30}, P_{31}, P_{32}) such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{30}^* \\ P_{31}^* \\ P_{32}^* \end{bmatrix} (NN^*) (P_{30}, P_{31}, P_{32}) = \begin{bmatrix} p^2 rk & \emptyset & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \theta_1 I_{m-1} & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \emptyset & \theta_2 I_{m(n-1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Corresponding to the unique root $p^2 rk$, there corresponds the unique characteristic vector P_{30} . Since $(1/\sqrt{t})j_t^1 NN^* (1/\sqrt{t})j_1^t = p^2 rk$,

$$P_{30} = (1/\sqrt{t})j_1^t, \quad \text{then} \quad j_t^1 P_{31} = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad j_t^1 P_{32} = \emptyset.$$

The non-characteristic roots of NN^* and N^*N are equal and

are of the same multiplicities, Hence, let Q_2 be an orthogonal matrix such that

$$Q_2^t N^t N Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} p^2 \text{rk} & \emptyset & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \emptyset_{c_0+c_1} & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \emptyset & D_3^* \end{bmatrix},$$

where:

i) D_3^* is a diagonal matrix of the non-zero characteristic roots of NN^t excluding $p^2 \text{rk}$

ii) c_0 = multiplicity of zero characteristic root of NN^t

iii) $c_1 = b-t$

Partition Q_2 into (P_{20}, P_{21}, Q_{22}) such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{20}^t \\ P_{21}^t \\ Q_{22}^t \end{bmatrix} N^t N (P_{20}, P_{21}, Q_{22}) = \begin{bmatrix} p^2 \text{rk} & \emptyset & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \emptyset_{c_0+c_1} & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \emptyset & D_3^* \end{bmatrix},$$

where the dimensions of P_{20} , P_{21} and Q_{22} are $b \times 1$, $b \times (c_0+c_1)$ and $b \times \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i$ respectively, where c_i denotes the multiplicity of the i^{th} non-zero characteristic root of NN^t other than $p^2 \text{rk}$. If

$Q_{22} = (P_{22}, P_{23})$, the following relationships can be established among the partitions of Q_3 and Q_2

i) $P_{22}^t = \theta_1^{-1/2} P_{31}^t N$

ii) $P_{23}^t = \theta_2^{-1/2} P_{32}^t N$.

Since $A^t A = p r I_t - p^{-1} k^{-1} N N^t$, the orthogonal matrix which diagonalizes NN^t , will also diagonalize $A^t A$, that is,

$$Q_3^t A^t A Q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \emptyset & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & [pr - (pk)^{-1} \theta_1] I_{m-1} & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \emptyset & [pr - (pk)^{-1} \theta_2] I_{m(n-1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider now $F^* \approx X_3^* - p^{-1}k^{-1}M^*X_1^* - k(rk-r+\lambda_1)^{-1}(L^* - p^{-1}k^{-1}M^*N^*)$
 $\cdot [I - (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_2 t)^{-1}(B_0 + B_1)]A^*$.

Since $p^{-1}F^*F \approx p^{-1}F^*X_3$ is an idempotent matrix of rank $bk-b-t+1$, let P_4^* be $bk-b-t+1$ orthogonal vectors from a $bk \times bk$ orthogonal matrix which diagonalizes $p^{-1}F^*F$. This can be done since we can always choose P_4 corresponding to ^{the} non-zero characteristic roots of the idempotent matrix.

From the above results one can verify that the matrix P as defined below is an orthogonal matrix.

$$P^* \approx \begin{bmatrix} (bkp)^{-\frac{1}{2}} j_{bkp}^1 \\ (pk)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_{21}^* X_1^* \\ (pk\theta_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_{31}^* N X_1^* \\ (pk\theta_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_{32}^* N X_1^* \\ [pr - (pk)^{-1}\theta_1]^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_{31}^* A^* \\ [pr - (pk)^{-1}\theta_2]^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_{32}^* A^* \\ p^{-1} P_4^* F \\ P_5^* \end{bmatrix}$$

It should be noted here that the idempotency property of $p^{-1}F^*F$ makes P an orthogonal matrix. All attempts to construct a similar matrix in order to exhibit a set of sufficient statistics for general PBIBD with two associate classes have been unsuccessful so far, although the results can be conjectured.

Consider now $g(Y, \gamma) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{bkp}{2}} |\gamma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp[-2^{-1}(Y-\bar{\mu})' P P' \gamma^{-1} P P' (Y-\bar{\mu})]$.

With P' as defined above we can show that:

$$P'(Y-\bar{\mu}) = \begin{bmatrix} (bkp)^{\frac{1}{2}}(y... - \mu) \\ (pk)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P'_{21} X'_1 Y \\ (pk\theta_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P'_{31} N X'_1 Y \\ (pk\theta_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P'_{32} N X'_1 Y \\ [pr-(pk)^{-1}\theta_1]^{-\frac{1}{2}} P'_{31} A' Y \\ [pr-(pk)^{-1}\theta_2]^{-\frac{1}{2}} P'_{32} A' Y \\ p^{-\frac{1}{2}} P'_4 F' Y \\ P'_5 Y \end{bmatrix} ,$$

where $y... = (bkp)^{-1} J_{bkp}^1 Y$.

Find $P'\gamma P$ and invert it to derive $P'\gamma^{-1}P$ as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} W_{11} & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & W_{22} & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \emptyset & W_{33} & \emptyset & W_{35} & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & W_{44} & \emptyset & W_{46} & \emptyset & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \emptyset & W_{53} & \emptyset & W_{55} & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & W_{64} & \emptyset & W_{66} & \emptyset & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & W_{77} & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & \emptyset & W_{88} \end{bmatrix} ,$$

where :

$$W_{11} = (\sigma^2 + pk\sigma_1^2 + pr\sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2)^{-1} ,$$

$$W_{22} = (\sigma^2 + pk\sigma_1^2 + p\sigma_3^2)^{-1} I_{c_0+c_1} ,$$

$$W_{33} = [(\sigma^2 + \{pr - (pk)^{-1}\theta_1\} \sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2)] d_1^{-1} I_{m-1} \quad ,$$

$$W_{35} = W_{53} = -[k^{-2}\theta_1(rk - p^{-2}\theta_1)]^{1/2} d_1^{-1} \sigma_2^2 I_{m-1} \quad ,$$

$$W_{44} = [\sigma^2 + \{pr - (pk)^{-1}\theta_2\} \sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2] d_2^{-1} I_{m(n-1)} \quad ,$$

$$W_{46} = W_{64} = -[k^{-2}\theta_2(rk - p^{-2}\theta_2)]^{1/2} d_2^{-1} \sigma_2^2 I_{m(n-1)} \quad ,$$

$$W_{55} = [\sigma^2 + pk\sigma_1^2 + (pk)^{-1}\theta_1 \sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2] d_1^{-1} I_{m-1} \quad ,$$

$$W_{66} = [\sigma^2 + pk\sigma_1^2 + (pk)^{-1}\theta_2 \sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2] d_2^{-1} I_{m(n-1)} \quad ,$$

$$W_{77} = [\sigma^2 + p\sigma_3^2]^{-1} I_{bk-b-t+1} \quad ,$$

$$W_{38} = \sigma^2 I_{bk(p-1)} \quad ,$$

where:

$$d_1 = [\sigma^2 + p\sigma_3^2][\sigma^2 + pk\sigma_1^2 + pr\sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2] + (p^2 rk - \theta_1) \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2$$

and

$$d_2 = [\sigma^2 + p\sigma_3^2][\sigma^2 + pk\sigma_1^2 + pr\sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2] + (p^2 rk - \theta_2) \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \quad .$$

Letting $q = (Y - \bar{\mu})^* P P^* \gamma^{-1} P P^* (Y - \bar{\mu})$, we have

$$q = (bkp)(\sigma^2 + pk\sigma_1^2 + pr\sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2)^{-1} (y \dots - \mu)^2$$

$$+ [pk(\sigma^2 + pk\sigma_1^2 + p\sigma_3^2)^{-1} Y^* X_1 P_{21} P_{21}^* X_1^* Y$$

$$+ (pkd_1)^{-1} [\sigma^2 + \{pr - (pk)^{-1}\theta_1\} \sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2] Y^* X_1 P_{22} P_{22}^* X_1^* Y$$

$$+ (pkd_2)^{-1} [\sigma^2 + \{pr - (pk)^{-1}\theta_2\} \sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2] Y^* X_1 P_{23} P_{23}^* X_1^* Y$$

$$+ [p(\sigma^2 + p\sigma_3^2)]^{-1} Y^* F P_4 P_4^* F^* Y + \sigma^{-2} Y^* P_5 P_5^* Y$$

$$+ [pr - (pk)^{-1}\theta_1 d_1]^{-1} [\sigma^2 + pk\sigma_1^2 + (pk)^{-1}\theta_1 \sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2] Y^* A P_{31} P_{31}^* A^* Y$$

$$+ [pr - (pk)^{-1}\theta_2 d_2]^{-1} [\sigma^2 + pk\sigma_1^2 + (pk)^{-1}\theta_2 \sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2] Y^* A P_{32} P_{32}^* A^* Y$$

$$-2 (d_1 k p^2)^{-1} \theta_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_2^2 Y' X_1 P_{22} P_{31}' A' Y - 2 (d_2 k p^2)^{-1} \theta_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_2^2 Y' X_1 P_{23} P_{32}' A' Y .$$

Define the ten statistics as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= y \dots , \\ s_2 &= (pk)^{-1} Y' X_1 P_{21} P_{21}' X_1' Y \text{ if } b > t - c_0, \text{ not defined for } b = t - c_0 , \\ s_3 &= (pk)^{-1} Y' X_1 P_{22} P_{22}' X_1' Y , \\ s_4 &= (pk)^{-1} Y' X_1 P_{23} P_{23}' X_1' Y , \\ s_5 &= p^{-1} Y' F P_4 P_4' F' Y , \\ \text{(IV) } s_6 &= Y' P_5 P_5' Y , \\ s_7 &= [pr - (pk)^{-1} \theta_1] Y' A P_{31} P_{31}' A' Y , \\ s_8 &= [pr - (pk)^{-1} \theta_2] Y' A P_{32} P_{32}' A' Y , \\ s_9 &= (p^2 k)^{-1} \theta_1^{\frac{1}{2}} Y' X_1 P_{22} P_{31}' A' Y , \\ s_{10} &= (p^2 k)^{-1} \theta_2^{\frac{1}{2}} Y' X_1 P_{23} P_{32}' A' Y . \end{aligned}$$

From the Neyman-Pearson [9 , 10] factorization condition for sufficiency, it follows that these ten statistics are sufficient for the parameters $\mu, \sigma^2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \sigma_3^2$.

At this stage it should be noted that in order to exhibit a set of sufficient statistics for the S-GD-PBIBD or SR-GD-PBIBD, $\theta_2 = 0$ or $\theta_1 = 0$ should be substituted in (IV). Hence, there will be eight statistics (after deleting s_4, s_{10} or s_3, s_9 accordingly, as θ_2 or θ_1 is zero) sufficient for $\mu, \sigma^2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \sigma_3^2$.

$g(Y, \gamma)$ may be written in the form

$$g(Y, \gamma) = P(\gamma) \exp \left[-2^{-1} (bkpv_1 s_1^2 - 2bkpv_{11} s_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{10} v_i s_i) \right]$$

where

$$v_1 = (\sigma^2 + pk\sigma_1^2 + pr\sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2)^{-1} ,$$

$$v_2 = (\sigma^2 + pk\sigma_1^2 + p\sigma_3^2)^{-1} ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_3 &= [\sigma^2 + \{pr - (pk)^{-1}\theta_1\} \sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2]d_1^{-1} , \\
 v_4 &= [\sigma^2 + \{pr - (pk)^{-1}\theta_2\} \sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2]d_2^{-1} , \\
 v_5 &= (\sigma^2 + p\sigma_3^2)^{-1} , \\
 \text{(V) } v_6 &= \sigma^{-2} , \\
 v_7 &= [\sigma^2 + pk\sigma_1^2 + (pk)^{-1}\theta_1\sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2]d_1^{-1} , \\
 v_8 &= [\sigma^2 + pk\sigma_1^2 + (pk)^{-1}\theta_2\sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2]d_2^{-1} , \\
 v_9 &= -2\sigma_2^2d_1^{-1} , \\
 v_{10} &= -2\sigma_2^2d_2^{-2} , \\
 v_{11} &= v_1^\mu .
 \end{aligned}$$

Lehmann and Scheffé' [8] have set forth a procedure by which a set of sufficient statistics may be shown to be minimal. It follows then that a sufficient condition that s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{10} form a minimal set is that there exist no constants $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{11}, c$ (not all zero) such that

$$\text{(VI) } \sum_{i=1}^{11} b_i v_i(\gamma) = c.$$

(VI) is not true for any b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{11} and c except when all vanish.

In (V) it is clear that μ appears only in v_{11} . Since v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{10} are homogeneous functions of $\sigma, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ of degree -2 , the constant c can only be zero.

In order to prove that v_i 's are linearly independent, effect the following transformation;

$$x = \sigma^2, \quad y = \sigma^2 + p\kappa\sigma_1^2 + p\sigma_3^2, \quad z = \sigma^2 + p\kappa\sigma_1^2 + p\sigma_2^2 + p\sigma_3^2, \quad w = \sigma^2 + p\sigma_3^2.$$

The functions of (V) become:

$$v_1 = xyz^2w^3 [1 + \alpha_1u] [1 + \alpha_2u] D^{-1},$$

$$v_2 = xz^3w^3 [1 + \alpha_1u] [1 + \alpha_2u] D^{-1},$$

$$v_3 = xyz^2w^2 [w + \alpha_1(z-y)] [1 + \alpha_2u] D^{-1},$$

$$v_4 = xyz^2w^2 [w + \alpha_2(z-y)] [1 + \alpha_1u] D^{-1},$$

$$v_5 = xyz^3w^2 [1 + \alpha_1u] [1 + \alpha_2u] D^{-1},$$

$$v_6 = yz^3w^3 [1 + \alpha_1u] [1 + \alpha_2u] D^{-1},$$

$$v_7 = xyz^2w^2 [y + \beta_1(z-y)] [1 + \alpha_2u] D^{-1},$$

$$v_8 = xyz^2w^2 [y + \beta_1(z-y)] [1 + \alpha_1u] D^{-1},$$

$$v_9 = -2xyz^2w^2\delta_1(z-y) [1 + \alpha_2u] D^{-1},$$

$$v_{10} = -2xyz^2w^2\delta_1(z-y) [1 + \alpha_1u] D^{-1}.$$

where : $u = (z-y)(y-w)(zw)^{-1}$, $\alpha_1 = (p^2rk)^{-1}(p^2rk - \theta_1)$, $\alpha_2 = (p^2rk)^{-1}(p^2rk - \theta_2)$,

$$\beta_1 = (p^2rk)^{-1}, \quad \delta_1 = pr^{-1}, \quad D = xyz^3w^3[1 + \alpha_1u][1 + \alpha_2u].$$

Since $xyz^2w^3u^2$, $xz^3w^3u^2$, $xyz^3w^2u^2$, and $yz^3w^3u^2$ occur only in v_1 ,

v_2, v_5 and v_6 respectively, they are themselves mutually independent and are linearly independent of v_3, v_4, v_8, v_9 , and v_{10} . In order to show that v_3, v_4, v_7, v_8, v_9 , and v_{10} are mutually linearly independent, form a 6×6 determinant corresponding to the coefficients of w, uw, z, y, uz, uy after cancelling the common factor xyz^2w^2 in the above six functions. It

can be shown that the determinant does not vanish, and hence this implies v_3, v_4, v_7, v_8, v_9 , and v_{10} are linearly independent. This conclusion then implies that the set of sufficient statistics defined in (IV) is minimal.

For the benefit of the readers, it should be pointed out here that the above method of establishing a minimality of the set of the sufficient statistics for the R-GD-PBIBD can be simplified in the case of S-GD-PBIBD and SR-GD-PBIBD. The method consists of keeping appropriate v_1 's from (V) corresponding to S-GD-PBIBD or SR-GD-PBIBD; effecting the same transformation, and following the technique given in [7].

In Table I, various properties of the statistics in a minimal set are given.

It should be noted in Table I that:

- (a) s_2 is not defined if $b = t - c_0$, and the relationship for s_2 holds if $b > t - c_0$.
- (b) $\chi^2(s)$ denotes the central chi-square with s degrees of freedom.
- (c) The g_i 's are the non-zero characteristic roots of $2^{-1}(G_1 + G_1^t) \not\sim$ where $G_1 = k^{-1}X_1N^tP_{31}A^t$ and h_i 's are the non-zero characteristic roots of $2^{-1}(H_1 + H_1^t) \not\sim$ where $H_1 = k^{-1}X_1N^tP_{32}P_{32}^tA^t$.

The results of this paper will be summarized in the following theorem and corollaries:

Theorem: If an Eisenhart Model II is assumed in a GD-PBIBD with interaction and p observations per cell, then (i) for S-GD-PBIBD or SR-GD-PBIBD there are eight statistics in a minimal set of sufficient statistics if $b > t - c_0$, and there are seven statistics in a minimal set of sufficient statistics if $b = t - c_0$ (ii) for R-GD-PBIBD there

Table I

Properties of Sufficient

Statistics in a Minimal Set

<u>Statistics</u>	<u>Expectation</u>	<u>Distribution</u>	<u>Independent of</u>
s_1	μ	$N[\mu; (b_k p)^{-1} (\sigma^2 + p k \sigma_1^2 + p \sigma_2^2 + p \sigma_3^2)]$	$s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_7, s_8, s_9, s_{10}$
s_2	$(c_0 + c_1) [\sigma^2 + p k \sigma_1^2 + p \sigma_3^2]$	$(\sigma^2 + p k \sigma_1^2 + p \sigma_3^2) \chi^2_{(c_0 + c_1)}$	$s_1, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_7, s_8, s_9, s_{10}$
s_3	$(m-1) [\sigma^2 + p k \sigma_1^2 + (p k)^{-1} \theta_1 \sigma_2^2 + p \sigma_3^2]$	$[\sigma^2 + p k \sigma_1^2 + (p k)^{-1} \theta_1 \sigma_2^2 + p \sigma_3^2] \chi^2_{(m-1)}$	$s_1, s_2, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_8, s_{10}$
s_4	$m(n-1) [\sigma^2 + p k \sigma_1^2 + (p k)^{-1} \theta_2 \sigma_2^2 + p \sigma_3^2]$	$[\sigma^2 + p k \sigma_1^2 + (p k)^{-1} \theta_2 \sigma_2^2 + p \sigma_3^2] \chi^2_{[m(n-1)]}$	$s_1, s_2, s_3, s_5, s_6, s_7, s_9$
s_5	$(b_k - b - t + 1) (\sigma^2 + p \sigma_3^2)$	$[\sigma^2 + p \sigma_3^2] \chi^2_{(b_k - b - t + 1)}$	$s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_6, s_7, s_8, s_9, s_{10}$
s_6	$b_k (p-1) \sigma^2$	$\sigma^2 \chi^2_{[b_k (p-1)]}$	$s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_7, s_8, s_9, s_{10}$
s_7	$(m-1) [\sigma^2 + (p k)^{-1} \theta_1 \sigma_2^2 + p \sigma_3^2]$	$[\sigma^2 + (p k)^{-1} \theta_1 \sigma_2^2 + p \sigma_3^2] \chi^2_{(m-1)}$	$s_1, s_2, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_8, s_{10}$
s_8	$m(n-1) [\sigma^2 + (p k)^{-1} \theta_1 \sigma_2^2 + p \sigma_3^2]$	$[\sigma^2 + (p k)^{-1} \theta_2 \sigma_2^2 + p \sigma_3^2] \chi^2_{[m(n-1)]}$	$s_1, s_2, s_3, s_5, s_6, s_7, s_9$
s_9	$(m-1) (p^2 r k - \theta_1) (p k)^{-2} \theta_1 \sigma_2^2$	$\Sigma g_i \chi^2(1)$	$s_1, s_2, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_8, s_{10}$
s_{10}	$m(n-1) (p^2 r k - \theta_2) (p k)^{-2} \theta_2 \sigma_2^2$	$\Sigma h_i \chi^2(1)$	$s_1, s_2, s_3, s_5, s_6, s_7, s_9$

are ten statistics in a minimal set of sufficient statistics if $b > t-c_0$ and there are nine statistics in a minimal set of sufficient statistics if $b \approx t-c_0$.

Corollary 1: The explicit form of the statistics in a minimal set is given in (IV).

Corollary 2: The expectation of each of the statistics as defined in (V) is given in column two of the table.

Corollary 3: The distribution of each of the statistics of the minimal set as defined in (IV) is given in column three of the table.

Corollary 4: The statistics defined in (IV) are pairwise independent except for the pairs (s_3, s_7) , (s_3, s_9) , (s_4, s_8) , (s_4, s_{10}) , (s_7, s_9) , (s_8, s_{10}) , for (R-GD-PBIBD); (s_3, s_7) , (s_3, s_9) , (s_7, s_9) , for S-GD-PBIBD; (s_4, s_8) , (s_4, s_{10}) , (s_8, s_{10}) for SR-GD-PBIBD.

COMMENTS:

- (i) It is clear from the table that there are six unbiased estimators of σ_2^2 and hence there are non-trivial unbiased estimators of zero. This implies that the minimal sufficient set of statistics proposed in this paper is not complete.
- (ii) If it exists, a minimum variance unbiased estimator must be an explicit function of the (minimal sufficient) statistics in the table.
- (iii) In [6] and [12], the components (except one) proposed in the minimal sets of sufficient statistics were associated with the quantities normally calculated from the A.O.V. table. All attempts to establish similar relationships in this case have not been successful. This implies, in order to make the maximum use of the results given in this article, that a new computing technique must be derived or the existing analysis of variance method must be written in terms of the components of the minimal sufficient statistics.

(iv) Further work using the results of this paper is necessary to investigate the problem of combination of the several unbiased estimators of the variance components and the related question, the existence or non-existence of uniform minimum variance unbiased estimators of the variance components.

At present, various problems similar to those given in [5] and [13] are being investigated.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Blackwell, D. (1947). Conditional expectation and unbiased sequential estimation. Ann. Math. Stat. 18 105-110.
- [2] Bose, R. C. , Clathworthy, W.H. and Shrinkhande, S.S. (1954) Table of partially balanced designs with two associate classes. Reprint of North Carolina Agriculture Experiment Station Technical Bulletin. No. 107, Raleigh, North Carolina.
- [3] Bose, R.C. and Masner, Dale M. (1959). On linear associative algebras corresponding to association scheme of partially balanced designs. Ann. of Math. Stat. 30 21-38.
- [4] Eisenhart, C. (1947). The assumption underlying the analysis of variance. Biometrics 3 1-21.
- [5] Kapadia, C.H. (1962). Minimal sufficient statistics for the partially balanced incomplete block design with two associate classes under an Eisenhart Model II. Ann. of the Inst. of Stat. Math. 14 63-71. Tokyo.
- [6] Kapadia, C.H. and Weeks, D.L. (1963). Variance components in two way classification model with interaction. Biometrika. 50 327-334.
- [7] Kapadia, C.H. and Weeks, D.L. On the analysis of the group divisible designs. (Submitted for publication Jour. Amer. Stat. Ass.)
- [8] Lehmann, E.L. and Scheffe*, H. (1950). Completeness, similar regions and unbiased estimation. Sankhyā. 10 305-340.
- [9] Neyman, J. (1935). Sur un teorema concenente le considette statistiche sufficienti. Giorn. Inst. Ital. Att. 6 320-334.
- [10] Neyman, J. and Pearson, E.S. (1936-38). Sufficient statistics and uniformly most powerful test of statistical hypotheses. Stat. Res. Memo. 1-2 113-137. Uni. of London.
- [11] Rao, C.R. (1947). Minimum variance and the estimation of several parameters. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 43 280.
- [12] Weeks, D.L. and Graybill, F.A. (1961). Minimal sufficient statistics for the balanced incomplete block design under an Eisenhart Model II. Sankhyā, Series A 23 261-268.
- [13] Weeks, D.L. and Graybill, F.A. (1962). A minimal sufficient statistic for a general class of designs. Sankhyā. Series A 24 339-354.

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	
SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY		UNCLASSIFIED	
		2b. GROUP	
		UNCLASSIFIED	
3. REPORT TITLE			
Minimal Sufficient Statistics for the Group Divisible Partially Balanced Incomplete Block Design (GD-PBIBD) with Interaction Under Eisenhart Model II			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and, inclusive dates)			
Technical Report			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)			
C. H. Kapadia David L. Weeks			
6. REPORT DATE		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS
May 30, 1970		16	13
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
N00014-68-A-0515		69	
b. PROJECT NO.			
NR 042-260			
c.		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
d.			
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT			
This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY	
		Office of Naval Research	
13. ABSTRACT			
<p>An Eisenhart Model II with interaction for a group divisible partially balanced incomplete block design with p replicates per cell is considered. Specifically, the model $y_{ijk} = \mu + \beta_i + \tau_j + (\beta\tau)_{ij} + e_{ijk}$ is assumed, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, b$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$; $k = n_{ij}$ and $n_{ij} = 0$ if treatment j does not appear in block i, and $n_{ij} = 1, 2, \dots, p$ if treatment j appears in block i.</p> <p>If β_i, τ_j, $(\beta\tau)_{ij}$, and e_{ijk} are normally and independently distributed, then a set of minimal sufficient statistics together with the distribution of each statistic in the set is derived. It is shown that the family of joint distributions of the minimal sufficient statistics is not complete and so the question of existence of uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimates is yet to be solved.</p>			