# EXACT TESTS OF BINOMIAL p = 1/2 WHEN ONE BINOMIAL EVENT POSSIBLY HAS DIFFERENT PROBABILITY

by

John E. Walsh

Technical Report No. 90
Department of Statistics ONR Contract

December 14, 1970

Research sponsored by the Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-68-A-0515 Project NR 042-260

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
Southern Methodist University

# EXACT TESTS OF BINOMIAL $p = \frac{1}{2}$ WHEN ONE BINOMIAL EVENT POSSIBLY HAS DIFFERENT PROBABILITY

John E. Walsh Southern Methodist University\*

#### ABSTRACT

The data are n independent random binomial events, each resulting in success or failure. The event outcomes are believed to be trials from a binomial distribution with success probability p, and tests of  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  are desired. However, there is the possibility that some unidentified event has a success probability different from the common value p for the other n - 1 events. Then, tests of whether this common p equals  $\frac{1}{2}$  are desired. Fortunately, two-sided tests can be obtained that simultaneously are applicable for both situations. That is, the significance level for a test is same when one event has a different probability as when all events have the same probability. These tests are the usual equal-tail tests for  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  (based on n independent trials from a binomial distribution).

<sup>\*</sup> Research partially supported by Mobil Research and Development Corporation and by NASA Grant NGR 44-007-028. Also associated with ONR Contract NOO014-68-A-0515.

## DISCUSSION AND RESULTS

Considered are n random binomial events that are statistically independent. Each event results in success or failure and the event outcomes are believed to represent trials from a binomial distribution (with probability of success denoted by p). Significance tests of the null hypothesis  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  are desired. A complication occurs, however, in that one (unidentified) event might have a success probability different from the common probability for the other n-1 events. When this happens, tests of whether the common value p for the other n-1 events satisfies  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  are desired.

The null hypothesis of p = ½ is often encountered. For example, the bias of a coin with regard to flipping might be investigated (no bias occurs when heads and tails are equally likely). Since the probability of a coin (say, for heads) can be influenced by a poor flipping technique, there can be a definite possibility that one flip is associated with a different probability than the other flips. With care, however, this should occur (in a nontrivial sense) for at most one flip. Very often, which flip, if any, has the different probability is very difficult (if not outright impossible) to identify. The coin remains the same, however, and the interest is in its bias when acceptable kinds of flipping techniques are used.

Suppose that identification could be made of the one binomial event that possibly could have a different probability. If this identification is completely accurate and also independent of the outcome for that event, the event could be eliminated from consideration.

The remaining n-1 events would then be independent trials from a binomial distribution for which  $p=\frac{1}{2}$  could be tested. Unfortunately, a completely accurate identification that is independent of the observed outcome frequently is difficult to accomplish. Other types of identifications are possibilities but they impose a conditional effect on the joint distribution of the outcomes and/or can fail to make an accurate identification.

The various difficulties in identification can be ignored if tests are obtainable that simultaneously are usable when all events have the same probability and when all events but one have the same probability. More specifically, the significance level of a test is the same for both of these situations. Two-sided tests with this property, fortunately, do exist. These are the customary equal-tail tests where  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  is rejected if and only if either the observed number of successes for the n events is at most i or is at least n + 1 - i, where  $0 \le i \le n/2 - 1$ . When all events have the same probability,

$$\binom{\mathbf{i}_2}{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\mathbf{i}} \binom{n}{j} \tag{1}$$

is the significance level. Verification that this is also the significance level when exactly one event has a different probability is given in the next section.

Use of a test which allows for the possibility that one event has a different probability can be motivated from virtually any consideration. Examination of the data source and collection method could be the motivation. On the other hand, a desire to be careful could be the only motivation.

## VERIFICATION

Under the null hypothesis, n-1 of the events have probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  and the other event has probability  $p^i$ ,  $(0 \le p^i \le 1)$ . For given i,  $(0 \le i \le n/2 - 1)$ , the contribution to the significance level from the lower tail is

P(i - 1 or less events with prob. 1/2 are successes and other event success)
+P(i or less events with prob. 1/2 are successes and other event failure)

$$= p' \binom{l_2}{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \binom{n-1}{j} + (1 - p') \binom{l_2}{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{n-1}{j} ,$$

where a summation to i - 1 is zero when i = 0. Also, the contribution to the significance level from the upper tail is

P(n - i or more events with prob.  $\frac{1}{2}$  are successes and other event success) +P(n+1-i or more events with prob.  $\frac{1}{2}$  are success and other event failure)

$$= p'(\frac{1}{2})^{n-1} \sum_{j=n-i}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} + (1 - p')(\frac{1}{2})^{n-1} \sum_{j=n+1-i}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}$$

$$= p'(\frac{1}{2})^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{n-1}{j} + (1 - p')(\frac{1}{2})^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \binom{n-1}{j} ,$$

where a summation starting at n + 1 - i is zero when i = 0.

Thus, the significance level, which equals the sum of the two contributions, is

$$(\frac{1}{2})^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} {n-1 \choose j} + (\frac{1}{2})^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i} {n-1 \choose j} ,$$
 (2)

since p' cancels out when the contribution from the lower tail is added to the contribution from the upper tail.

With  $\binom{n-1}{-1}$  defined to be zero, and since  $\binom{n-1}{0} = \binom{n}{0}$ , the value of (2) is

$$\binom{\mathbf{l_2}}{\mathbf{j}}^{n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{i}\left[\binom{n-1}{j}+\binom{n-1}{j-1}\right]\qquad.$$

However, for  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, i$ ,

$$\binom{n-1}{j} + \binom{n-1}{j-1} = \binom{n}{j} ...$$

Thus, (2) has the same value as (1) and the verification is completed. Incidentally, the contribution from the lower tail nearly equals that from the upper tail when i is of at least moderate size.

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D			
Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)			
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		28. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	
SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY		UNCLASSIFIED	
		2b. GROUP	
		UNCLASSIFIED	
3. REPORT TITLE			
"Exact tests of binomial $p = 1/2$ when one binomial event possibly has different			
probability"			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)			
Technical Report			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)			
John E. Walsh			
·			
6. REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. OF	F PAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS
December 14, 1970	5		0
8a, CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	98. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
N00014-68-A-0515			
b. PROJECT NO.	90		
NR 042-260	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(5) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)		
с.			
d.		•	
10. CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT			
This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is			
unlimited. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the			
United States Government.			
	ossi s N1 Para		
	Office of Naval Research		
13. ABSTRACT			

The data are n independent random binomial events, each resulting in success or failure. The event outcomes are believed to be trials from a binomial distribution with success probability p, and tests of p=1/2 are desired. However, there is the possibility that some unidentified event has a success probability different from the common value p for the other n-1 events. Then, tests of whether this common p equals 1/2 are desired. Fortunately, two-sided tests can be obtained that simultaneously are applicable for both situations. That is, the significance level for a test is same when one event has a different probability as when all events have the same probability. These tests are the usual equal-tail tests for p=1/2 (based on n independent trials from a binomial distribution).

DD FORM 1473 (PAGE 1)

UNCLASSIFIED