### THEMIS SIGNAL ANALYSIS STATISTICS RESEARCH PROGRAM

# A NOTE ON THE EVALUATION OF A MULTIVARIATE NORMAL INTEGRAL BY THE METHOD OF DAS

by

J. T. Webster

Technical Report No. 15
Department of Statistics THEMIS Contract

December 3, 1968

Research sponsored by the Office of Naval Research
Contract N00014-68-A-0515
Project NR 042-260

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS Southern Methodist University

A note on the evaluation of a multivariate normal integral by the method of Das

By J. T. Webster Southern Methodist University

#### 1. Introduction

Das (1956) presents a method of evaluating the integral

$$I = \int_{a_1}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{a_n}^{\infty} f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n$$

(where  $f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$  is the joint multivariate normal density function with zero means and nonsingular variance-covariance matrix  $\xi$ ) through the combining of n+k independent normal variables with zero means and unit variances. Later Marsaglia (1963) shows that this is a special case of a convolution formula. The complexity of implementing the solution is highly dependent upon the size of k and Marsaglia (1963) notes that k equal to k0 minus the multiplicity of the smallest latent root of k1 can always be achieved. This note investigates properties of k2 that will allow smaller values of k3.

# 2. The equivalent expression

As a method for evaluating I , Das (1956) considers two row rectors  $\underline{y'}=(y_1\ ,\ y_2\ ,\ \cdots\ ,\ y_n) \ \text{ and } \underline{z'}=(z_1\ ,\ z_2\ ,\ \cdots\ ,\ z_k) \ \text{ all of whose elements}$  are normally and independently distributed with zero means and unit variances. The problem is to choose a positive constant c and an n × k real matrix B such that

for then  $\underline{x}' = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  can be expressed as  $x = c\underline{y} - B\underline{z} .$ 

I can now be expressed as

$$I = \Pr(x_{1} \ge a_{1}, x_{2} \ge a_{2}, \dots, x_{n} \ge a_{n})$$

$$= \Pr\left[y_{1} \ge \left(a_{1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{1j}z_{j}\right)/c, y_{2} \ge \left(a_{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{2j}z_{j}\right)/c, \dots\right]$$

$$y_{n} \ge \left(a_{n} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{nj}z_{j}\right)/c\right]$$

$$= (2\Pi)^{-k/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left[\left(a_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{ij}z_{j}\right)/c\right] \exp\left(-\frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2}/2\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k} dz_{j}. 2)$$

where  $P[a] = Pr(y_1 \ge a)$ . It is evident that a small k is advantageous.

The slight change in 1)

where  $\boldsymbol{c}$  is a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal elements  $\boldsymbol{c}_i$  , results in

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{C}\underline{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{B}\underline{\mathbf{z}}$$

and 2) of the form

$$I = (2\pi)^{-k/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left[\left(a_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{ij}z_{j}\right)/c_{i}\right] \exp\left(-\underline{z}'\underline{z}/2\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k} dz_{j} . 4$$

## 3. Latent vector properties

If  $\xi$  can be expressed in the form 3) and  $\underline{\mathbf{w}}_{\ell}$  is a latent vector

of then

$$\lambda_{\ell} \underline{\underline{w}}_{\ell} = \underline{\underline{x}} \underline{\underline{w}}_{\ell}$$

$$= \underline{\mathbf{c}}^{2} \underline{\underline{w}}_{\ell} + \underline{\mathbf{B}} \underline{\mathbf{B}}' \underline{\underline{w}}$$

$$= \underline{\mathbf{c}}^{2} \underline{\underline{w}}_{\ell} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \underline{\mathbf{b}}_{j} \underline{\mathbf{b}}'_{j} \underline{\underline{w}}_{\ell}$$
5)

where  $\underline{b}_{j}$  is the j<sup>th</sup> column of B . From expression 5)

$$\lambda_{\ell} \mathbf{w}_{i\ell} = \mathbf{c}_{i}^{2} \mathbf{w}_{i\ell} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \theta_{j\ell} \mathbf{b}_{ij}$$
 6)

where  $\mathbf{w}_{i\ell}$  is the  $i^{th}$  element of  $\underline{\mathbf{w}}_{\ell}$  and  $\theta_{j\ell} = \underline{\mathbf{b}}_{j}^{t}\underline{\mathbf{w}}_{\ell}$ . If the  $\mathbf{c}_{i}^{2}$  are not all equal the n equations of 6) are parametric equations for a k dimension variety in n space of order two,  $V_{k}^{2}$ ; a brief discussion of this may be found in Kendall (1956), page 5. That is given a vector  $\underline{\mathbf{w}}_{\ell}$ , algebraic operations to eliminate  $\lambda_{\ell}$  and the  $\theta_{j\ell}$  will result in n-k second degree homogeneous equations in the  $\mathbf{w}_{i\ell}$ . If the  $\mathbf{c}_{i}^{2}$  are all equal say to c then 6) can be written as

$$w_{i\ell} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \theta_{j\ell}^* b_{ij} \quad , \tag{7}$$

where  $\Theta_{j\ell}^* = \underline{b}_j^* \underline{w}_\ell / (\lambda_\ell - c^2)$ , which are the parametric equations of a k dimension flat,  $S_k$ .

$$\theta_{j\ell} \underline{w}_{\ell}' \underline{b}_{j}' \ge 0 \text{ and } \lambda_{\ell} \ge c_{i}^{2} > 0$$

then  $\Sigma$  can be written in the form 3). If the latent vectors of  $\Sigma$  all

fall in a S<sub>k</sub> with

$$\Theta_{j\ell}^* \underline{\mathbf{w}}_{\ell}^{b_j} \geq 0$$
 9)

then it can be written in the form 1).

Thus the smallest value of k for evaluating I through form 4) is determined by the smaller of the minimum dimension of the  $V_k^2$ 's of the form 6) satisfying conditions 8) and the minimum dimension of the  $S_k$  satisfying the condition 9) that contain the latent vectors of  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ .

Alternative conditions can be given for the special case of k=1 .

#### becomes

$$\lambda_{\ell} \mathbf{w}_{i\ell} = \mathbf{c}_{i}^{2} \mathbf{w}_{i\ell} + \mathbf{\theta}_{\ell} \mathbf{b}_{i}$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{w}_{i\ell}} = -\frac{1}{\mathbf{\theta}_{\ell}} \frac{\mathbf{c}_{i}^{2}}{\mathbf{b}_{i}} + \frac{\lambda_{\ell}}{\mathbf{\theta}_{\ell}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{b}_{i}}$$
10)

or

which is the parametric expression of a plane,  $S_2$ , passing through the origin. Thus if the  $\underline{s}'_{\ell}=(1/w_{1\ell}$ ,  $1/w_{2\ell}$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $1/w_{n\ell}$ ) all fall in a plane with parametric conditions 10) satisfying

$$(\lambda_{\ell} - c_{\mathbf{i}}^2) \theta_{\ell} \underline{\mathbf{w}_{\ell}' \underline{\mathbf{b}}} > 0$$

only one auxiliary variable is necessary. For the case of  $c_i^2=c^2$  for all i, n-1 of the  $\lambda_\ell$  equal  $c^2$  and their corresponding  $\theta_\ell$  are zero. That is the minimum latent root of  $\Sigma$  has multiplicity k-1.

#### 4. Summary

In general the minimum number of auxiliary variables necessary to evaluate a multivariate normal integral through the method of Das (1956)

can be determined by the dimensions of the second order varieties with parametric form 6) and the dimensions of the flats that contain the latent vectors of the variance-covariance matrix.

This problem could also be looked at as determining the diagonal matrix D such that  $D \not\succeq D$  has maximum multiplicity of its smallest latent root. Then Marsaglia's (1963) solution suffices. It is interesting to note that the difficulty of the solution, the dimension of the integration in I, is affected by change of scales of the normal variables.

#### REFERENCES

- Das, S. C. (1956). The numerical evaluation of a class of integrals, II. <u>Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.</u> 52, 442-448.
- Kendall, M. G. (1961). A Course in the Geometry of n Dimensions. London: Charles Griffin and Co.
- Marsaglia, G. (1963). Expressing the normal distribution with covariance matrix A+B in terms of one with covariance matrix A. <u>Biometrika</u>, 50, 535-538.

#### Security Classification

n	0	٦1	М	F	JT	r	n	N,	T	20	1	D.	41	ГА	_	R	1	D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)

20. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED

SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY

26. GROUP UNCLASSIFIED

3. REPORT TITLE

A Note on the Evaluation of a Multivariate Normal Integral by the Method of Das

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)

Technical Report

5. AUTHOR(5) (First name, middle initial, last name)

#### J. T. Webster

6. REPORT DATE	74. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS				
December 3, 1968	5	3				
SA. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(5)					
N00014-68-A-0515						
b. PROJECT NO.	15					
NR 042-260						
с.	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(5) (Any of this report)	her numbers that may be assigned				
d.						

10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY

Office of Naval Research

13. ABSTRACT

Das (1956) presents a method of evaluating the integral

$$I = \int_{a_1}^{\infty} \dots \int_{a_n}^{\infty} f(x_1, x_2, 111, x_n) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n$$

(where f(x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, ..., x<sub>n</sub>) is the joint multivariate normal density function with zero means and nonsingular variance-covariance matrix ‡) through the combining of n + k independent normal variables with zero means and unit variances. Later Marsaglia (1963) shows that this is a special case of a convolution formula. The complexity of implementing the solution is highly dependent upon the size of k and Marsaglia (1963) notes that k equal to n minus the multiplicity of the smallest latent root of ‡ can always be achieved. This note investigates properties of ‡ that will allow smaller values of k.

References

Das, S. C. (1956). The numerical evaluation of a class of integrals, II. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 52, 442-448.

Marsaglia, G. (1963). Expressing the normal distribution with covariance matrix A+B in terms of one with covariance matrix A . Biometrika, 50, 535-538.

DD FORM 1473

UNCLASSIFIED