ONE-SIDED TOLERANCE LIMITS FOR A BROAD CLASS OF LIFETIME DISTRIBUTIONS WITH APPLICATIONS TO DATA OF LIMITED ACCURACY

bу

Wayne A. Woodward
Department of Statistics
Southern Methodist
University
Dallas, Texas 75275

William H. Frawley E-Systems, Incorporated Greenville, Texas 75401

Technical Report No. 135
Department of Statistics ONR Contract
July 25,-1979

Research Sponsored by the Office of Naval Research Contract NO0014-75-C-0439 Project NR 042-280

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
Department of Statistics
Southern Methodist University
Dallas, Texas 75275

One-Sided Tolerance Limits for a Broad Class of Lifetime Distributions with Applications to Data of Limited Accuracy ABSTRACT

Addressed is the problem of determining a one-sided tolerance limit for a population possessing a distribution belonging to a broad class of lifetime distributions. A new implementation of existing general theory is given and contrasted with an earlier utilization of that theory. General guidelines are given for deciding which implementation to use. A method for adjusting for the accuracy of the measuring device is discussed and illustrated with an actual example.

One-Sided Tolerance Limits for a Broad Class of Lifetime Distributions with Applications to Data of Limited Accuracy

Wayne A. Woodward
Department of Statistics
Southern Methodist University
Dallas, Texas 75275

and

William H. Frawley E-Systems, Incorporated Greenville, Texas 75401

Introduction

Sometimes a specification of a manufactured product must be stated in terms of an upper or lower tolerance limit for the attribute of the item produced. For example, a manufacturer might state the probability that a certain portion of mechanical components will attain at least a given lifetime. Or a company may claim with a certain confidence that virtually all (stated as a proportion) of its safety devices will trigger before a dangerous condition exists.

The specific theory that is applied to provide this information will depend on what is known about the underlying lifetime density function.

Often, sample data is either scanty or else indicates that it would be unlikely that the assumptions necessary to employ parametric procedures would be valid. In either of these situations it is necessary to turn to distribution-free methods in order to determine the desired tolerance limits.

Research for this paper was partially supported by ONR Contract No. N00014-75-C-0439. W. A. Woodward is Assistant Professor of Statistics and Manager of the Statistical Research Laboratory at Southern Methodist University. W. H. Frawley is Supervisor of Analytic Services at E-Systems.

However, for a given confidence level γ and content 1-P the traditional non-parametric tolerance limit (see [5], pp. 491-492) obtained from using one of the sample order statistics requires a minimum sample size; and, for any of a multitude of reasons, it may not be possible to obtain this minimum sample size.

Hanson and Koopmans [2] developed a technique for calculating onesided tolerance limits for a broad class of lifetime distributions

(see Appendix A). They also implemented their theory by publishing

tables of factors which can be used to calculate tolerance limits using

two adjacent order statistics. In practice, even though the underlying

distribution is continuous, the measuring instruments yield observations

to only a certain degree of accuracy. This can prove troublesome when

the degree of imprecision is relatively large, especially when tie observations are likely. We investigate the problem of applying the Hanson

and Koopmans results in these cases. As a result of this investigation

another implementation of the theory in [2] which depends on the smallest

and largest order statistics is discussed.

The Hanson and Koopmans Method

The statistic L will be called a lower 1-P content tolerance limit with confidence level γ if the probability is at least γ that at least proportion 1-P of the population falls above L. Upper tolerance limits are defined in the analogous manner. In the discussion to follow it will be assumed that the underlying lifetime distribution is such that either upper or lower tolerance limits may be found using the method of Hanson and Koopmans see (Appendix A). Their lower tolerance limit is

$$L = Y_{k+j+1} - b(Y_{k+j+1} - Y_{k+1})$$
 (1)

while their upper tolerance limit is

$$U = Y_{n-k-1} + b(Y_{n-k} - Y_{n-k-1})$$
 (2)

where Y_m is the m^{th} order statistic from a sample of size n. For a discussion concerning the evaluation of the constant b see Appendix A and and [2]. The tables presented by these authors were for the case j=1 which corresponds to the use of consecutive order statistics in the tolerance limits, usually the two smallest or two largest for lower and upper limits, respectively. The lower tolerance limit using adjacent order statistics and k=0 is

$$L_{H} = Y_{2} - b_{H}(Y_{2} - Y_{1})$$
 (3)

whereas the corresponding upper tolerance limit is

$$U_{H} = Y_{n-1} + b_{H}(Y_{n} - Y_{n-1})$$
(4)

where b_{μ} is tabulated in [2].

We will investigate the application of these tolerance limits in assessing the strength of steel pipe. The strength of steel pipe is measured by the amount of pressure which must be exerted before the pipe collapses. The pressure is increased by increments of 100 pounds until casing collapse occurs. Government specifications have set a catalog minimum for each grade of pipe. If a company is to advertise a pipe as being of a certain grade, then it must be able to show that the pipe will withstand a pressure at least as great as the catalog minimum. The procedure employed is to take a sample of the pipes, usually of size less than 100 from a particular grade because of the expense of testing, and determine whether or not the catalog minimum is above or below the lower tolerance limit with 1-P = .995 and γ = .95. Due to the measuring technique the data is collected only to the nearest 100 pounds and as Table 1 indicates ties occur frequently.

(Table 1 here)

Direct application of (3) yields $L_{\rm H} = 6000 - b_{\rm H}(6000-6000) = 6000$. In fact if $Y_1 = Y_2$, then $L_{\rm H} = Y_2$ regardless of n, P, or γ which is, of course, disturbing. Actually we know only that $5950 \le Y_1 \le Y_2 \le 6050$. A conservative approach would be to consider the worst case situation, i.e. $Y_1 = 5950$ and $Y_2 = 6050$. In this case the limit is given as $L_{\rm H}^{\rm rig} = 6050 - b_{\rm H}(6050-5950)$

$$L_{H}^{"} = 6050 - b_{H}(6050-5950)$$

$$= 6050 - 28.38 (100)$$

$$= 3212.$$

Upon inspection of the data we see that the 72 test values ranged only from 6000 to 6900 which makes the lower tolerance limit of 3212 seem excessively low. Another approach would be to consider the two pipe pressures which were rounded to 6000 to be uniformly spaced on the interval (5950,6050), i.e. $Y_1 = 5983.33$ and $Y_2 = 6016.67$. Using this approach

a more intuitively appealing result. However, one might be concerned that the limits obtained using this method would not actually be true 1-P content tolerance limits with at least confidence γ . We will address this problem in the next section.

When using the tabled results of Hanson and Koopmans, the dispersion and location of the distribution is assessed by means of two adjacent order statistics. Intuitively, when the measurements are crude, the information given by successive order statistics concerning the dispersion, is greatly diminished and can be misleading. More appealing limits would deal with non-adjacent order statistics in order to provide a more accurate measure of variability.

In light of these considerations, an intuitively appealing limit would be that for which k=0 and j=n-1, i.e. when the tolerance limit depends on the range. The lower and upper tolerance limits are then respectively

$$L_{R} = Y_{n} - b_{R}(Y_{n} - Y_{1})$$
 (5)

and

$$U_{R} = Y_{1} + b_{R} (Y_{n} - Y_{1}).$$
 (6)

(Table 2 here)

Table 2 presents values of b_R for various values of n, P, and γ . For a discussion of the computations involved in evaluating b_R see Appendix A.

It should be noted that for a given P and γ , the tolerance limits given in (5) and (6) as well as (3) and (4) collapse to the corresponding traditional nonparametric tolerance limits whenever n is greater than or equal to the minimum sample size required for the nonparametric limits to exist. This minimum sample size for each set of parameters in Table 2 is given in parentheses following the last tolerance factor.

Applying (5) to the data of Table 1 and assuming the worst case situation, i.e. $Y_1 = 5950$ and $Y_n = 6950$, we obtain

$$L_R'' = 6950 - b_R(6950 - 5950)$$

= 6950 - 1.658(1000)
= 5292

whereas assuming a uniform spacing yields

$$L_{R}^{\prime} = 6900 - 1.658(6900-5983.33)$$

= 5380.

In this example the limits based on the range gave lower tolerance limits which were greater than those based on the adjacent order statistics for both methods of dealing with rounded data. In Table 3 tolerance limits

calculated by the methods of this section are presented for eleven grades of pipe which were tested. Of the eleven grades of pipe, the L_R^{\prime} limits (Table 3 here)

were greater than the L_H' limits eight times, and the L_R'' were greater than the L_H'' ten times. Indeed some of the L_H limits are very poor, e.g. grades 3 and 11. These limits are poor whether the worst case method or the uniform spacing method for handling ties is used. Also of interest is the fact that for the limits based on the range, the choice of method made less difference than it did for limits based on adjacent order statistics. It should be noted that for 1 - P = .995 and $\gamma = .95$, the standard nonparametric limits do not exist for n < 598 and thus are not applicable here.

Monte Carlo Comparison of Tolerance Limits

In this section Monte Carlo comparisons of the tolerance limits based on the range and on adjacent order statistics will be discussed. As a first comparison these tolerance limits are compared using "exact" data. In Table 4 the results of these comparisons for the normal, exponential, and chi-square distributions are given. These comparisons were made for various values of P and n. All runs were at the nominal γ = .95 level and the $\hat{\gamma}$ given in the table is the estimate of γ based on 1000 repetitions. The quantities $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}$ are estimates of the mean and variance of the tolerance limits. The order statistics were generated using the method of Schucany [4].

(Table 4 here)

In order to compare the tolerance limits discussed in this section, a method of comparison needs to be specified. Goodman and Madansky [1] have suggested that one-sided lower (upper) limit A_1 is better than A_2 if $E[A_1-A_2] > 0$ (<0). We will employ this criterion to our situation also.

With this in mind the following observations concerning Table 4 are made:

- (a) L_R and U_R are superior for the normal distribution while U_R is superior for the right tails of the distributions skewed to the right as would be expected. For distributions strongly skewed to the right such as the exponential or chi-square with small degrees of freedom, the L_H limits tended to be superior.
- (b) The superiority of L_R and U_R for the normal distribution and right tails of the skewed distributions is greater for the smaller sample sizes, i.e. when b_H is quite large.
- (c) L_R and U_R are in general less variable than L_H and U_H .
- (d) Although L_R and U_R in general show to be more conservative in the sense that their \hat{Y} 's are larger, this conservatism is often accompanied by superior limits using the Goodman and Madansky criterion. Of course this apparent contradiction occurs because of the lower variability of the L_R and U_R limits.

It should be noted that neither type of tolerance limit performed well for distributions such as the beta and uniform with known and finite support. In fact for these distributions, sample sizes, and parameters employed in Table 4, $\hat{\mu}$ fell outside the support in most cases.

The results of Table 4 indicate that the limits based on the range which were developed to deal with data of limited accuracy are superior in some cases to the limits based on successive order statistics even when data is "exact."

A second Monte Carlo comparison was performed to compare the tolerance limits based on the range and those based on adjacent order statistics when data is of limited accuracy. In Appendix B a formulation of the uniform spacing method of dealing with rounded data is given.

In Table 5 results of the Monte Carlo examination of this method of dealing with rounded data are given. From the table we see that there is close agreement between limits of Table 5 and corresponding limits of Table 4. In addition there were no cases of confidence Υ being small enough for us to reject the null hypothesis that $\Upsilon \geq .95$ at the .05 level. For these reasons we feel that the uniform spacing method for handling rounded data is a good one and thus that the worst case method is unnecessarily conservative.

(Table 5 here)

Summary

In obtaining tolerance limits, the engineer might use, for example, the procedures outlined in MIL-HDBK-5C (see [3]); and there he is presented with the alternative of using the standard nonparametric procedure if "near normality" cannot be demonstrated. As discussed previously, sample size can be a problem when using the standard nonparametric techniques. For example, an A-basis (P = .01, $\gamma = .95$) distribution free tolerance limit requires a sample of size 296. When such a sample size cannot be obtained due to practical considerations, the normality assumption may be invoked out of necessity. In this paper we have applied theory due to Hanson and and Koopmans [2], which is not well known, to present an alterative course of action.

Whereas Hanson and Koopmans applied their theory using adjacent order statistics, we have considered another utilization of that theory which involves the range. Results presented in this paper show that these limits involving the range do have merit. We have compared the two techniques in this paper and have outlined recommendations for their use. The decision between the two utilizations of the Hanson and Koopmans theory may also involve nonstatistical considerations. For example if a lower tolerance limit is desired when items are placed on simultaneous test, then a savings in time will be obtained by forming the limit using the first two order statistics.

When computing the limits, one may also be faced with the problem of tied observations such as those given in Table 1 concerning collapse pressure. A procedure (the uniform spacing method) for handling this situation is presented.

As equations (1) and (2) indicate, the tolerance limits may be based on any combination of order statistics. The main problem is in solving equation (A2). For example, lower tolerance limits based on the first and $\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$ order statistics might prove effective if the parent distribution is skewed to the right.

Appendix A

Summary of Hanson and Koopmans Results

- In [2], Hanson and Koopmans developed the theory which provides the basis for calculation of tolerance limits, for any sample size, in the following two situations:
 - (a) If F is the distribution function, then when log F is concave it is possible to obtain lower tolerance limits. In this case the lower tolerance limit is given by

$$L = Y_{k+j+1} - b(Y_{k+j+1} - Y_{k+1})$$
 (A1)

where $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{m}}$ denotes the \mathbf{m}^{th} order statistic and the value of b is such that

$$\pi(b) = \frac{n!}{(n-k-j-1)!(j-1)!k!} \left\{ \int_0^p \int_0^v + \int_p^1 \int_0^{p^{1/b}} v^{(b-1)/b} \right\} w^k (v-w)^{j-1} (1-v)^{n-k-j-1} dw dv$$
(A2)

= γ , whenever $\pi(1) < \gamma$.

(b) When log (1-F) is concave, then upper tolerance limits can be calculated. An equivalent condition is that the density function have an increasing hazard rate. In this case the upper tolerance limit is given by

When $\pi(1) \ge \gamma$, the value of b is taken to be unity, i.e. $L = Y_{k+1}$.

$$U = Y_{n-k-j} + b(Y_{n-k} - Y_{n-k-j})$$
 (A3)

where b is as in (A2). As before when $\pi(1) \ge \gamma$, the value of b is taken to be unity, i.e. $U = Y_{n-k}$.

Of course if the underlying lifetime distribution is such that both log F and log (1-F) are concave then either lower or upper tolerance limits may be obtained using the method of Hanson and Koopmans. Indeed those authors pointed out that there is an important class of distributions for which both log F and log (1-F) are concave. Examples of members of this

class are the normal, gamma, beta and Weibull distributions --- either in truncated or original form. Thus the class includes distributions possessing density functions which are quite often employed to describe lifetime situations.

Hanson and Koopmans [2] have tabled the constant b for various values of γ , P, and sample size n for the case in which j=1 in (A1) and (A3). In this case they were able to reduce the double integral in (A2) to a sum of two one-dimensional integrals. In fact they were able to show that in this case, π (b) could be expressed in terms of the gamma function and the incomplete beta distribution function which enabled existing computer routines to be used in the evaluation of b.

In the present paper we investigate the evaluation of $\pi(b)$ when j=n-1. In this case (A2) reduces to the one dimensional integral

$$\pi(b) = 1 - n \int_{D}^{1} v^{n-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{p}{v} \right)^{1/b} \right]^{n-1} dv.$$
 (A4)

The integration involved in evaluating

$$q(b) = \pi(b) - \gamma \tag{A5}$$

in this case was performed with 20 point Gauss-Legendre quadrature and roots of (A5) were approximated by the method of false position. Checks were made for various values of the parameters using a series solution to the integral in (A4) in lieu of employing Gaussian quadrature. At least five decimal place agreement was observed in all cases checked. All calculations were performed on the CDC Cyber 72 computer. Values of b in this case with j = n - 1 are presented in Table 2 for various values of γ , p, and n.

Appendix B

Uniform Spacing Method of Calculating Tolerance Limits when Data is of Limited Accuracy

Suppose that lower tolerance limits are desired for a continuous theoretical lifetime distribution for which the limited accuracy of the measuring device has resulted in the observed order statistics \mathbf{z}_1 , ..., \mathbf{z}_n , whereas had the measurements been "perfect" the order statistics would have been \mathbf{y}_1 , ..., \mathbf{y}_n , respectively. Assuming that the only measurement errors made are those due to round-off, then if the measuring device is accurate to the nearest \mathbf{r} , it is known only that for any \mathbf{m} , $\mathbf{z}_m - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}$ $\leq \mathbf{y}_m \leq \mathbf{z}_m + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}$. Of course \mathbf{r} is always present to some degree when measurements are being made on continuous variables. Application of the Hanson and Koopmans method would yield as the lower tolerance limit

$$L_z = Z_{k+i+1} - b(Z_{k+i+1} - Z_{k+1}).$$
 (B1)

The theoretical limit is given by

$$L = y_{k+j+1} - b(y_{k+j+1} - y_{k+1})$$
 (B.2)

which may vary from L_z in (B1) by as much at \pm (b-.5)r.

Assume that the order statistics z_1, \dots, z_n have been observed, and let $w_1 = z_1$. Suppose further that because of the imprecision of the measuring device, the only possible observable values for z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n are $w_1, w_1 + r = w_2, w_2 + r = w_3$, etc. Now suppose that n_1 of the z_1 's are equal to w_1, n_2 equal to w_2, \dots , and n_k equal to $w_k = z_n$. We have two cases for approximating y_1 and y_2 :

(1) Suppose $z_2 = w_1$, i.e. $n_1 \ge 2$. Then our approximations of the unknown y_1 and y_2 are given by \hat{y}_1 and \hat{y}_2 , the expected values of the first two ordered uniform variables over $(z_1 - \frac{r}{2}, z_1 + \frac{r}{2})$ in a sample size of n_1 i.e. $\hat{y}_1 = z_1 - \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{n_1 + 1}$ and $\hat{y}_2 = \hat{y}_1 + \frac{r}{n_1 + 1}$.

(2) Suppose $n_1 = 1$ (assume $n_2 > 0$). Then following the same reasoning, \hat{y}_1 is the expected value of the first ordered uniform over $(z_1 - \frac{r}{2}, z_1 + \frac{r}{2})$ in a sample of size 1, i.e. $\hat{y}_1 = z_1$. Likewise, \hat{y}_2 is the first ordered uniform over $(z_2 - \frac{r}{2}, z_2 + \frac{r}{2})$ in a sample of size n_2 , i.e. $\hat{y}_2 = z_2 - \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{n_2 + 1}$.

Approximations of y_n and y_{n-1} are obtained in a similar manner. Using these approximations, observed values of the tolerance limits L_H^i , U_H^i , L_R^i , and U_R^i corresponding to (3),(4),(5),and (6) respectively are obtained by substituting \hat{y}_1 , \hat{y}_2 , \hat{y}_{n-1} , and \hat{y}_n for Y_1 , Y_2 , Y_{n-1} , and Y_n respectively.

Of course distributions other than the uniform could be considered over the intervals $(z_i - \frac{r}{2}, z_i + \frac{r}{2})$ but the authors feel that this additional sophistication in the technique would add no meaningful improvement.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express their appreciation to a referee for his many helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- Goodman, Leo A., and Madansky, Albert, "Parameter-Free and Non-parametric Tolerance Limits: The Exponential Case," <u>Technometrics</u>, Vol. 4, No. 1, February, 1962, pp. 75-95.
- Hanson, D.L., and Koopmans, L.H., "Tolerance Limits for the Class of Distributions with Increasing Hazard Rates," <u>Annals of Mathematical</u> Statistics, Vol. 35, No. 4, December, 1964, pp. 1561-1570.
- 3. <u>Military Standards Handbook</u>, "Metallic Materials and Elements of Aerospace Vehicle Structures," MIL-HDBK-5C, Section 9, United States Government Printing Office, December, 1978.
- 4. Schucany, W.R., "Order Statistics and Simulation," <u>Journal of Statistical</u> Computation and Simulation, Vol. 1, 1972, pp. 281-286.
- Walpole, Ronald E. and Myers, Raymond H., <u>Probability and Statistics for Engineers and Scientists</u>, 2nd ed., Macmillan, New York, 1978.

Table 1 Collapse Pressures for a Sample of
Pipes--Grade 1

| Pressure | Frequency |
|----------|-----------|
| 6000 | 2 |
| 6100 | 6 |
| 6200 | 18 |
| 6300 | 7 |
| 6400 | 12 |
| 6500 | 6 |
| 6600 | 9 |
| 6700 | 5 |
| 6800 | 6 |
| 6900 | 1 72 |
| | 12 |

| • | J10141100 1411 | R | | | Υ | |
|------------|-----------------------|-----------|---|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| | <u> </u> | .99 | | n | .95 | .99 |
| _n | .95 P = . | | | | P = . | 05 |
| | | 79.79060 | | 2 | 48.63158 | 248.39916 |
| 2 | 22011071 | 18.92398 | | 3 | 10.57547 | 25.44104 |
| 3 | 7.85870 | 8.55170 | : | 4 | 6.00391 | 11.38610 |
| 4 | 4.50521 | 5.58036 | | 5 . | 4.38315 | 7.49232 |
| 5 6 | 3.30616 · · · 2.69502 | 4.23786 | | 6 | 3.56125 | 5.59617 |
| 7 | 2.32317 | 3 • 48252 | | 7 | 3.06293 | 4.58871 |
| P | 2.07170 | 2.99948 | • | 8 | 2.72681 | 3.94594 |
| Ç | 1.88932 | 2.66374 | | 9 | 2.48356 | 3.49996 |
| 1.0 | 1.75034 | 2 • 41636 | | ነ ቤ | 2.29852 | 3.17184 |
| 33 | 1.64046 | 5.88610 | | ĵ١ | 2.15243 | 2.91978 |
| ïz | 1.55110 | 2.07488 | | 12 | 2.03377 | 2.71965 |
| 13 | 1.47677 | 1.95154 | | · 13 | , 1.93518 | 2.55656 |
| 14 | 1.41381 | 1.84883 | | 14 | 1.85174 | 2.42085 |
| 15 | 1.35966 | 1.76180 | | ĵ5 | 1.78005 | 2.30595 |
| 16 | 1.31251 | 1.58700 | | 16 | 1.71767 | 2.20725 |
| 17 | 1.27100 | 1.62193 | | 17 | 1.66278 | 2.12143 |
| า้ค | 1.23412 | 1.56472 | | 18 | 1.61405 | 2.04602 |
| 19 | 80108 | 1.51397 | | 19 | 1.57042 | 1.97916 |
| 20 | 1.17128 | 1.46859 | | 20 | 1.53108 | 1.91940 |
| 21 | 1.14423 | 1.42773 | | 21 | 1.49539 | 1.86560 |
| 2 2 | 1.11954 | 1.39071 | | 22 | 1.46283 | 1.81688 |
| 23 | 1.09688 | 1.35698 | | 23 | 1.43298 | 1.77251 |
| 24 | 1.07601 | 1.32610 | | 24 | 1.40548 | 1.73190 |
| 25 | 1.05670 | 1.29770 | | 25 | 1.38004 | 1.69456 |
| 26 | 1.03877 | 1.27148 | | 26 | 1.35643 | 1.66009 |
| 27 | 1.02207 | 1.24717 | | 27 | 1.33444 | 1.62815 |
| 28 | 1.00645 | 1.22456 | | 58 | 1.31389 | 1.59845 |
| 29 | (29) | 1.20347 | | 29 | 1.29464 | 1.57075 |
| ЗÛ | | 1.18374 | | 30 | 1.27655 | 1.54484 |
| 31 | | 1 • 16523 | | 3]. | 1.25952 | 1.52054 |
| 32 | | 1 • 14783 | | 35 | 1.24345 | 1.49770 |
| 33 | | 1.13142 | | 33 | 1.22824 | 1.47517 |
| 34 | | 1.11593 | | 34 | 1.21383 | 1 • 45583 |
| 35 | | 1.10126 | | 35 | 1.20015 | 1.43659 |
| 36 | | 1.08736 | | 36 | 1.18714 | 1.41836 |
| 37 | | 1.07415 | | 37 | 1.17474 | 1.40104 |
| 38 | | 1.06159 | | 3.8 | 1.16292 | 1.38456 |
| 30 | | 1.04962 | | 30 | 1.15162 | 1.36887 |
| 40 | | 1.03820 | | - 40 | 1.14081 | 1.35389 |
| 41 | | 1.02728 | | 41 | 1.13046 | 1.33959 |
| 42 | | 1.01684 | | | | |
| 43 | | 1.00684 | | - | | , |
| | | (44) | | | | · |

TABLE 2--Continued

| P = .05 | | Υ | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
|---|------------|---------|-----------|------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1.12653 | n | . 95. | .99 | n | .95 | .99 | | | |
| 43 1.1100 | | P = . | 05 | | , p = | .01 | | | |
| 1-1100 | 47 | 1.12053 | 1 • 32590 | 2 | 80.00380 | 408.43565 | | | |
| 4 | 43 | 1.11100 | 1.31279 | 3 | 16.91220 | 40.65091 | | | |
| 46 | 44 | 1.10184 | 1.30022 | 4 | 9.49579 | 17.99395 | | | |
| 46 | 45 | | 1.28816 | . 5 | 6.89049 | 11.61292 | | | |
| 48 | 46 | 1.08454 | 1.27656 | 6 | 5•57681 | - | | | |
| 48 | 47 | 1.07636 | 1.26541 | 7 | 4 • 78352 | 7.16267 | | | |
| 49 1.06084 1.24432 9 3.86502 5.44450 50 1.05348 1.23434 10 3.57267 4.02834 52 1.03946 1.21540 11 3.34227 4.53234 54 1.02631 1.19768 12 3.15540 4.71831 56 1.01394 1.18108 13 3.00033 3.96268 58 1.00228 1.16548 14 2.86924 3.75016 60 1.15077 15 2.75672 3.57038 61 1.13689 16 2.65889 3.41607 62 (59) 1.13689 16 2.65889 3.41607 63 1.1129 18 2.49660 3.16423 64 1.1129 18 2.49660 3.16423 68 1.09946 19 2.42833 3.05988 70 1.08820 20 2.36683 2.96666 77 1.07747 21 2.31106 2.88279 74 1.96723 22 2.26020 2.80687 78 1.05744 23 2.21359 2.73775 78 1.04807 24 2.17067 2.67451 80 1.03909 25 2.13100 2.61638 82 1.03047 26 2.09419 2.56274 84 1.02220 27 2.05991 2.51305 88 1.03047 26 2.09419 2.56274 89 1.01424 28 2.02790 2.46687 89 1.01424 28 2.02790 2.46687 89 1.01658 29 1.99791 2.43803 30 1.96975 2.38353 31 1.94324 2.34576 32 1.91822 2.31027 33 3.89457 2.27683 34 1.87215 2.24525 35 1.85088 2.21538 36 1.83065 2.18707 37 1.81139 2.16019 38 1.79301 2.13462 39 1.77546 2.11027 40 1.75868 2.08704 | 48 | 1.06846 | 1.25467 | ' В | 4.25011 | | | | |
| 50 | 49 | 1.06084 | 1.24432 | 9 | | | | | |
| 52 1.03946 1.21540 11 3.34227 4.53234 54 1.02631 1.19768 12 3.15540 4.21831 56 1.01394 1.19108 13 3.00033 3.96268 58 1.02228 1.16548 14 2.86924 3.75016 60 1.155077 15 2.75672 3.57038 62 (59) 1.13689 16 2.65889 3.41607 64 1.1129 18 2.49660 3.16423 66 1.11129 18 2.49660 3.16423 70 1.08820 20 2.36683 2.96666 72 1.07747 21 2.31106 2.88279 74 1.076723 22 2.26020 2.80687 78 1.04807 24 2.17067 2.67451 80 1.03947 26 2.09419 2.56274 84 1.02220 27 2.05991 2.51308 86 1.01 | 50 | 1.05348 | 1.23434 | 10 | 3.57267 | _ | | | |
| \$6 | 52 | 1.03946 | 1.21540 | 11 | 3 • 34227 | | | | |
| \$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc | | 1.02631 | 1.1976B | 12 | 3 • 15540 | 4.21831 | | | |
| \$\frac{58}{60}\$ \$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc | | 1.01394 | 1.19108 | 13 | 3.00033 | | | | |
| 1.15077 15 2.75672 3.57038 3.41607 1.13689 16 2.65889 3.41607 1.13689 16 2.65889 3.41607 1.13689 17 2.57290 3.28198 1.11129 18 2.49660 3.16423 3.05988 1.09946 19 2.42833 3.05988 1.08820 20 2.36683 2.96666 1.07747 21 2.31106 2.88279 1.07747 21 2.31106 2.88279 1.07747 21 2.31106 2.88279 1.05744 23 2.21359 2.73775 1.04807 24 2.17067 2.67451 1.03909 25 2.13100 2.61638 1.03909 25 2.13100 2.61638 1.039047 26 2.09419 2.56274 1.01424 28 2.02790 2.46687 1.01424 28 2.02790 2.46687 1.01424 28 2.02790 2.42688 (90) 30 1.96975 2.38353 31 1.964324 2.34576 32 1.91822 2.31027 33 1.89457 2.27683 34 1.87215 2.24525 35 1.85088 2.21538 36 1.83065 2.18707 37 1.81139 2.16019 38 1.77546 2.11027 40 1.75868 2.08704 | | 1.00228 | 1.16548 | 14 | | | | | |
| 62 (59) 1.13689 16 2.65889 3.41607 64 1.12375 17 2.57290 3.28198 66 1.11129 18 2.49660 3.16423 67 1.09946 19 2.42833 3.05988 70 1.08820 20 2.36683 2.96666 72 1.07747 21 2.31106 2.88279 74 1.96723 22 2.26020 2.80687 76 1.05744 23 2.21359 2.73775 78 1.04807 24 2.17067 2.67451 78 1.03909 25 2.13100 2.61638 82 1.03947 26 2.09419 2.56274 84 1.02220 27 2.05991 2.51305 88 1.01424 28 2.02790 2.46687 89 1.01658 29 1.99791 2.42380 (90) 30 1.96975 2.38353 31 1.94324 2.34576 32 1.91822 2.31027 33 1.89457 2.27683 34 1.87215 2.24525 35 1.85088 2.21538 36 1.83065 2.18707 37 1.81139 2.16019 38 1.77546 2.11027 40 1.75868 2.08794 | | | 1.15077 | 15 | 2.75672 | | | | |
| 1.11129 18 | 6Z | (59) | 1.13689 | 16 | 2 • 65,8,89 | | | | |
| 1.11129 18 | | | | 17 | 2.57290 | 3.28198 | | | |
| 1.09946 1.08820 1.08820 2.36683 2.96666 2.31106 2.88279 74 1.06723 2.2226020 2.80687 76 1.05744 2.3 2.21359 2.73775 78 1.04807 2.4 2.17067 2.67451 80 1.03909 2.5 2.13100 2.61638 82 1.03047 2.6 2.09419 2.56274 84 1.02220 2.7 2.05991 2.51305 88 1.01424 2.8 2.02790 2.46687 88 1.01424 2.8 2.02790 2.46687 88 1.01658 2.9 1.99791 2.42380 3.0 1.96975 2.38353 3.1 1.94324 2.34576 32 1.91822 2.31027 33 1.89457 2.27683 34 1.87215 2.24525 35 1.85088 2.21538 36 1.83065 2.18707 37 1.81139 2.16019 38 1.77546 2.1027 40 1.75868 2.08704 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.08820 1.07747 21 2.31106 2.88279 74 1.06723 22 2.26020 2.80687 76 1.05744 23 2.21359 2.73775 78 1.04807 24 2.17067 2.67451 80 1.03909 25 2.13100 2.61638 82 1.03047 26 2.09419 2.56274 84 1.02220 27 2.05991 2.51305 86 1.01424 28 2.02790 2.46687 88 1.01658 29 1.99791 2.42380 30 1.96975 2.38353 31 1.94324 2.34576 32 1.91822 2.31027 33 1.89457 2.27683 34 1.87215 2.24525 35 1.85088 2.21538 36 1.83065 2.18707 37 1.81139 2.16019 38 1.77546 2.11027 40 1.75868 2.08704 | | | | | _ | | | | |
| 1.07747 21 2.31106 2.88279 74 1.06723 22 2.26020 2.80687 76 1.05744 23 2.21359 2.73775 78 1.04807 24 2.17067 2.67451 80 1.03909 25 2.13100 2.61638 82 1.03047 26 2.09419 2.56274 84 1.02220 27 2.05991 2.51305 86 1.01424 28 2.02790 2.46687 88 1.00658 29 1.99791 2.42380 (90) 30 1.96975 2.38353 31 1.94324 2.34576 32 1.91822 2.31027 33 1.89457 2.27683 34 1.87215 2.24525 35 1.85088 2.21538 36 1.83065 2.18707 37 1.81139 2.16019 38 1.77546 2.11027 40 1.75868 2.08704 | | | | | | | | | |
| 76 1.05744 23 2.21359 2.73775 78 1.04807 24 2.17067 2.67451 80 1.03909 25 2.13100 2.61638 82 1.03047 26 2.09419 2.56274 84 1.02220 27 2.05991 2.51305 86 1.01424 28 2.02790 2.46687 88 1.00658 (90) 30 1.96975 2.38353 31 1.94324 2.34576 32 1.91822 2.31027 33 1.89457 2.27683 34 1.87215 2.24525 35 1.85088 2.21538 36 1.83065 2.18707 37 1.81139 2.16019 38 1.77546 2.11027 40 1.75868 2.08704 | 72 | | 1 • 07747 | | | | | | |
| 76 | _ ; | | 1.06723 | 22 | 2.26020 | 2.80687 | | | |
| R0 | | | 1.05744 | 23 | 2•21359 | 2.73775 | | | |
| R2 | | | 1.04807 | 24 | 2 • 17067 | 2 • 67451 | | | |
| R4 | | | | 25 | 2 • 13100 | 2 • 61638 | | | |
| 1.01424 | 45 | | 1.03047 | 26 | 2.09419 | 2 • 56274 | | | |
| 1.00658 (90) 30 1.96975 2.38353 31 1.94324 2.34576 32 1.91822 2.31027 33 1.89457 2.27683 34 1.87215 2.24525 35 1.85088 2.21538 36 1.83065 2.18707 37 1.81139 2.16019 38 1.77546 2.13462 39 1.77546 2.11027 40 1.75868 2.08704 | Я4 | | 1.02220 | | 2 • 05991 | 2.51305 | | | |
| (90) 30 1.96975 2.38353 31 1.94324 2.34576 32 1.91822 2.31027 33 1.89457 2.27683 34 1.87215 2.24525 35 1.85088 2.21538 36 1.83065 2.18707 37 1.81139 2.16019 38 1.77301 2.13462 39 1.77546 2.11027 40 1.75868 2.08704 | 86 | | 1.01424 | | 2.02790 | 2 • 46687 | | | |
| 31 1.94324 2.34576 32 1.91822 2.31027 33 1.89457 2.27683 34 1.87215 2.24525 35 1.85088 2.21538 36 1.83065 2.18707 37 1.81139 2.16019 38 1.77546 2.11027 40 1.75868 2.08704 | A A | | | | | 2 • 42380 | | | |
| 32 1.91822 2.31027 33 1.89457 2.27683 34 1.87215 2.24525 35 1.85088 2.21538 36 1.83065 2.18707 37 1.81139 2.16019 38 1.79301 2.13462 39 1.77546 2.11027 40 1.75868 2.08704 | • | | (90) | | | 2.38353 | | | |
| 33 1.89457 2.27683 34 1.87215 2.24525 35 1.85088 2.21538 36 1.83065 2.18707 37 1.81139 2.16019 38 1.79301 2.13462 39 1.77546 2.11027 40 1.75868 2.08704 | | | | 31 | 1 • 94324 | 2.34576 | | | |
| 34 1.87215 2.24525 35 1.85088 2.21538 36 1.83065 2.18707 37 1.81139 2.16019 38 1.79301 2.13462 39 1.77546 2.11027 40 1.75868 2.08704 | | | | | _ | 2.31027 | | | |
| 34 1.87215 2.24525 35 1.85088 2.21538 36 1.83065 2.1 ₈₇ 0 ₇ 37 1.81139 2.1 ₆ 01 ₉ 38 1.79301 2.13462 39 1.77546 2.1102 ₇ 40 1.75868 2.0 ₈₇ 04 | | | | | | 2.27683 | | | |
| 36 1.83065 2.18707 37 1.81139 2.16019 38 1.79301 2.13462 39 1.77546 2.11027 40 1.75868 2.08704 | | | | | | 2.24525 | | | |
| 37 1.81139 2.1601 ₉ 38 1.79301 2.13462 39 1.77546 2.1102 ₇ 40 1.75868 2.0 ₈₇ 04 | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 1•79301 2.13462 39 1•77546 2.1102 ₇ 40 1•75868 2.0 ₈₇ 04 | | | | 36 | 1.83065 | 2.1 ₈₇ 0 ₇ | | | |
| 38 1.79301 2.13462 39 1.77546 2.1102 ₇ 40 1.75868 2.0 ₈₇ 04 | | | | | 1.81139 | 2.16019 | | | |
| 39 1.77546 2.1102 ₇ 40 1.75868 2.0 ₈₇ 04 | | | | | | 2,13462 | | | |
| 40 1•75868 2 _{.087} 04 | | | | 39 | 1.77546 | | | | |
| 41 1.74260 2.06485 | | | | | | 2.08704 | | | |
| | | | | 41 | 1.74260 | 2.06485 | | | |

TABLE 2 -- Continued

| | Υ | · | | Υ | | | | |
|----------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| <u>n</u> | .95 | .99 | n | .95 | .99 | | | |
| •. | Р = | .01 | | P = | .01 | | | |
| 42 | 1.72718 | 2.04363 | 135 | 1.20620 | 1.35718 | | | |
| 43 | 1.71239 | 2.02331 | 140 | 1.19491 | 1.34298 | | | |
| 44 | 1.69817 | 2.00382 | 145 | 1.18421 | 1.32955 | | | |
| 4.5 | 1.68449 | 1 12 | 150 | 1.17406 | 1 31453 | | | |
| 46 | 1.67132 | 1.98512 | 155 | 1.16440 | 1.31682 | | | |
| • 6 | 67132 | 1.96715 | | 1 0 4 4 0 | 1.30473 | | | |
| 47 | 1.65862 | 1.94986 | 160 | 1.15519 | 1,29324 | | | |
| 48 | 1.64638 | 1.93322 | 165 | 1.14640 | 1.28228 | | | |
| 49 | 1.63456 | 1.91719 | 170 | 1.13801 | 1,27183 | | | |
| 50 | 1.62313 | 1.90172 | 175 | 1.12997 | 1.26184 | | | |
| 52 | 1.60139 | 1.87238 | 180 | 1.12226 | 1.2522g | | | |
| 54 | 1 ==101 | 1 | lac | 1 114- | , | | | |
| | 1.5g101 | 1.84495 | 185 | 1.11486 | 1.24311 | | | |
| 56 | 1.56184 | 1.81924 | 190 | 1.10776 | 1.23431 | | | |
| 5g | 1.54377 | 1.79509 | 195 | 1.10092 | 1,22586 | | | |
| 60 | 1.52670 | 1.77233 | 200 | 1.09434 | 1.21774 | | | |
| 62 | 1.51053 | 1.75084 | 205 | 1.08799 | 1.20991 | | | |
| 64 | 1.49520 | 1.73051 | 510 | 1.08187 | 1.2023 | | | |
| 66 | 1.48063 | 1.71124 | 215 | 1.07595 | 1.1950 | | | |
| 6g | 1.46675 | 1 4 2 2 2 | 220 | 1.07024 | 1 1 0 | | | |
| 76 | 1.45352 | 1.69293 | 225 | 1 0 - 4 - 1 | 1.1880 | | | |
| 72 | 1.44089 | 1 • 67552 | 230 | 1.06471 | 1.1812 | | | |
| ,~. | ; • 4 <u>4</u> ~ 8 9 | 1.65892 | | 1.05935 | 1.17471 | | | |
| 14 | 1.42881 | 1.64309 | 235 | 1.05417 | 1.16836 | | | |
| 76 | 1.41724 | 1.62795 | 240 | 1.04914 | 1.16220 | | | |
| 78 | 1.40614 | 1.61347 | 245 | 1.04426 | 1 10220 | | | |
| 80 | 1.39549 | 1.59959 | 250 250 | 1.03952 | 1.15623 | | | |
| 82 | 1.38525 | 1.58627 | 275 | 1.01773 | 1.15044 1.12388 | | | |
| | . • • • • | . •980~7 | | | + • 1 × 3 8 8 | | | |
| 84 | 1.37541 | 1.57348 | 300 | (299) | 1,10067 | | | |
| 86 | 1,36592 | 1.56119 | 32 ₅ | • | 1.08014 | | | |
| 88 | 1.35678 | 1.54936 | 350 | | 1.06179 | | | |
| 9 Ŏ | 1.34796 | 1.53796 | 375 | | 1.04526 | | | |
| 92 | 1.33944 | 1.52696 | 400 | | 1.0302 | | | |
| - | | - 034690 | 425 | | 1.01653 | | | |
| 94 | 1,33120 | 1,51635 | 450 | | 1.00392 | | | |
| 96 | 1.32324 | 1.50611 | • – | | - • • • • • • • • | | | |
| 98 | 1.31553 | 1.49620 | | | (459) | | | |
| 100 | 1.30806 | 1 40442 | | | (100) | | | |
| 105 | 1.29036 | 1.48662 1.46396 | | | | | | |
| 110 | 1 6 6 6 | | | | | | | |
| 110 | 1,27392 | 1.44297 | | | | | | |
| 115 | 1.25859 | 1.42346 | | | | | | |
| 120 | 1.24425 | 1.40526 | | | | | | |
| 125 | 1.23080 | 1.38822 | | | | | | |
| 130 | 1.21814 | 1.37223 | | | | | | |

TABLE 2--Continued

| | Y | | | ΥΥ | |
|-----|----------|----------------------|------|--------------------|---------|
| n | .95 | .99 | n | .95 | .99 |
| | P = . | 005 | | P = | .005 |
| Ś | 93.52083 | 477.40521 | 42 | 1.98847 | 2.35276 |
| 3 | 19•64593 | | 43 | 1,97140 | 2.32933 |
| 4 | 11.00178 | 47.21468 | 44 | 1.95500 | 2.30686 |
| 5 | 7.97155 | 20.84466 | 45 | 1,93923 | 2.28530 |
| 6 | 6.44564 | 13.43315 10.12147 | 46, | 1.92404 | 2.26458 |
| 7, | 5.52508 | H.27225 | 47 | 1.90940 | 2.24466 |
| 8 | 4.90656 | 7.09637 | 4 A | 1.89528 | 2,22548 |
| 9 | 4.46029 | 6.28266 | 49 | 1.88165 | 2.20699 |
| 10 | 4.12166 | 5.68528 | 50 | 1.86848 | 2.18917 |
| 11 | 3.85491 | 5.22720 | 52 | 1.84342 | 2.15534 |
| 12 | 3.63862 | 4.86405 | 54 | 1.81991 | 2.12373 |
| 13 | 3,45920 | 4.56852 | 56 | 1.79781 | 2.09410 |
| 14 | 3.30757 | 4.32288 | 5g | 1.77699 | 5.06626 |
| 15 | 3.17745 | 4.11513 | 60 | 1.75731 | 2.04003 |
| 16 | 3.06435 | 3.93684 | 62 | 1.73868 | 2,01527 |
| 17 | 2.96495 | 3.78194 | 64 | 1.72100 | 1.99184 |
| 18 | 2.87676 | 3.64594 | 66 | 1,70421 | 1.96963 |
| 1 0 | 2.79788 | 3.52543 | 6g | 1.68822 | 1.94854 |
| 20 | 2.72682 | 3.41779 | 70 | 1.67297 | 1.92847 |
| 21 | 2.66239 | 3.32096 | 72 | 1.65841 | 1.90935 |
| .55 | 2.60365 | 3.23331 | 74 | 1.64449 | 1.89110 |
| 23 | 2.54982 | 3,15353 | 76 | 1,63115 | 1.87366 |
| 24 | 2.50026 | 3.0g054 | . 7g | 1.61837 | 1.85698 |
| 25 | 2.45446 | 3.01346 | 80 | 1.60610 | 1.84099 |
| 26 | 2.41196 | 2.95155 | 92 | 1.59430 | 1.82565 |
| 27 | 2.37239 | 2.89422 | 84 | 1.58295 | 1.81092 |
| 28 | 2.33543 | 2.84092 | 86 | 1.57203 | 1.79675 |
| 29 | 2,30082 | 2.79123 | ଞ୍ଚ | 1.56149 | 1.78312 |
| 30 | 2,26832 | 2.74477 | 90 | 1.55133 | 1.76999 |
| 31 | 2.23772 | 2.70121 | 92 | 1,54151 | 1.75732 |
| 32 | 2.20886 | 2.66026 | 94 | 1.53203 | 1,74510 |
| 33 | 2.18156 | 2.62169 | 96 | 1.52285 | 1.73330 |
| 34 | 2,15570 | 2.58527 | 98 | 1.51397 | 1.72189 |
| 35 | 2,13115 | 2.55082 | 100 | 1.50537 | 1.71085 |
| 36 | 2.10782 | 2.51817 | 105 | 1.48498 | 1.68475 |
| 37 | 2.08559 | 2.48716 | 110 | 1.46604 | 1.66058 |
| 3 g | 2.06440 | 2.45768 | 115 | ³ • 44 8 38 | 1.63811 |
| 39 | 2.04415 | 2.42960 | 120 | 1.43187 | 1.61715 |
| 40 | 2.02479 | 2,40282 | 125 | 1.41637 | 1.59753 |
| 41 | 2.00625 | 2,37723 | 130 | 1.40179 | 1.57911 |
| | | | | | |

TABLE 2--Continued

| <u>Y</u> _ | | - | Υ | | | | |
|------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| .95 | .99 | n | .95 | .99 | | | |
| P = | .005 | - | P | = .005 | | | |
| 1.30004 | 1 - 1 | | | | | | |
| | 1:54543 | 675 | | 1.06161 | | | |
| | | | | 1.05395 | | | |
| | | | | 1.04666 | | | |
| | 1,51530 | | | 1.03972 | | | |
| -,33990 | 1.50130 | | | 1.03372 | | | |
| 1.32030 | 1 40015 | | | 1.03309 | | | |
| | | 800 | | 1.02675 | | | |
| | | | | 1.02068 | | | |
| | | | | 1.01486 | | | |
| | | | | 1.00927 | | | |
| - , •cdra8 | 1 . 441199 | | | | | | |
| 1 2.204 | 1 | 700 | | 1.00389 | | | |
| 1 2-44 | | | | (919) | | | |
| 1.27468 | | | | | | | |
| 1.06681 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1.25192 | 1.39221 | | | | | | |
| 1.24487 | 1.3e353 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1.23140 | _ | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1.21895 | 1.35169 | | | | | | |
| 1.21200 | 1.24427 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1 20157 | | | | | | | |
| 1 1 2 1 1 | | | | | | | |
| 1 1-102 | | | | | | | |
| 1.17103 | 1.29318 | | • | | | | |
| 1.14902 | 1.26646 | | | | | | |
| 1.12949 | | | | | | | |
| 1,11199 | | | | | | | |
| 1.09617 | | | | | | | |
| 1.08177 | 1 • 18540 | | | | | | |
| 1.06858 | 1.16961 | | | | | | |
| 1.05644 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1.02501 | 1.12927 | | | | | | |
| 1.01500 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| (598) | | , | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 1.06967 | | | | | | |
| | .95 P = 1.38804 1.37504 1.36272 1.35102 1.33990 1.32938 1.31918 1.30025 1.31918 1.30025 1.29138 1.28286 1.27468 1.26681 1.25923 1.25192 1.24487 1.23806 1.23148 1.22511 1.21895 1.21298 1.20719 1.20157 1.19611 1.17103 1.14902 1.12949 1.11199 1.09617 1.08177 1.06858 1.05644 1.04526 1.03476 | P = .005 1.38804 | P = .005 1.38804 | P = .005 P = .0 | | | |

Table 3 Comparison of Lower Tolerance Limits with 1-P = .995 and γ = .95 for Various Grades of Pipe

| GRADE | <u>n</u> | L't | L' _R | L"H | L"R | | | z _n |
|-------|----------|-------|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|
| 1 | 72 | 5071 | 5 380 | 3212 | 5292 | 6000 | 6000 | 6900 |
| 2 | 83 | 6035 | 7324 | 3094 | 7225 | 8100 | 8200 | 9400 |
| 3 | 54 | -6770 | 7404 | -9994 | 7272 | 9700 | 10200 | 12500 |
| 4 | 79 | 4932 | 6398 | 2314 | 6287 | 7500 | 7600 | 9300 |
| 5 | 58 | 8903 | 7795 | 6810 | 7697 | 10000 | 10000 | 12800 |
| 6 | 51 | 11256 | 8346 | 8969 | 8241 | 12400 | 12400 | 17100 |
| 7 | 54 | 9252 | 12028 | 5928 | 11896 | 15600 | 16000 | 20400 |
| 8 | 57 | 3782 | 3768 | 1378 | 3684 | 4600 | 4600 | 5600 |
| 9 | 99 | 4179 | 5239 | 1428 | 5138 | 5800 | 5900 | 6900 |
| 10 | 84 | 5353 | 6526 | 2438 | 6417 | 7400 | 7500 | 8900 |
| 11 | 51 | -3743 | 4859 | -7174 | 4724 | 6400 | 6700 | 8200 |

Table 4 - Monte Carlo Results Normal (0,1), $\gamma = .95$, 1000 repetitions

| .90 | .90 | .95 | . 95 | .95 | .99 | .99 | 1-P | .90 | .90 | . 95 | . 95 | . 95 | .99 | .99 | 1-p | |
|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--|
| 25 | 10 | 50 | 25 | 10 | 100 | 50 | n | 25 | 10 | 50 | 25 | 10 | 100 | 50 | 5 | |
| 0.16 | - 0.94 | 0.06 | - 0.23 | - 2.15 | 04 | 42 | ㄷ › | _ 2.22 | _ 6.59 | _ 2.54 | - 4.85 | -12.37 | - 4:15 | -10.85 | ב › | |
| .001 | .035 | .001 | .009 | .069 | .002 | .014 | o ht | .021 | .151 | .021 | .094 | .323 | .059 | .264 | H, | |
| .955 | .976 | .959 | .967 | . 965 | .942 | .968 | , π π | .957 | .961 | .947 | .950 | .951 | .908 | .962 | ٠, | |
| -0.18 | -2.03 | -0.22 | -1.41 | -3.60 | -1.58 | -2.78 | Exponential , | -2.20 | -3.89 | -2.50 | -3.45 | -5.55 | -4.02 | -5.06 | ㄷ › | |
| .003 | .029 | .002 | .015 | .053 | .012 | .025 | (1) 7 R 1,R | .016 | .034 | .016 | .023 | .047 | .017 | .026 | , L Q | |
| 999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 95, | .981 | .998 | .976 | .999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | ∀ , | |
| 4.44 | 11.92 | 5.14 | 10.86 | 23.07 | 10.15 | 25.75 | 1000 repetitions | 2.24 | 6.35 | 2.54 | 4.91 | 12.61 | 4.28 | 10.40 | ; , | |
| .058 | .313 | .057 | .249 | .700 | .184 | .694 | titions VH QH | .022 | .138 | .022 | .092 | .313 | .064 | . 254 | o _h c | |
| .955 | .945 | .955 | .952 | .931 | .926 | . 955 | ۲, | . 955 | .950 | .951 | .960 | .957 | .918 | .946 | ۲, | |
| 4.09 | 5.06 | 4.69 | 5.31 | 6.64 | 6.75 | 7.32 | E , | 2.21 | 3.85 | 2.50 | 3.46 | 5.56 | 4.05 | 5.04 | μ, | |
| .045 | .067 | .040 | .055 | .093 | .051 | .066 | Q AR | .017 | .034 | .016 | .022 | .047 | .018 | .026 | σ k U | |
| .956 | .955 | .953 | .961 | .950 | .95/ | .948 | ۲, | .974 | .997 | .973 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | ۲, | |

Table 4 - Continued

Chi-Square (5), $\gamma = .95$, 1000 repetitions

| . 90 | .90 | .95 | .95 | .95 | .99 | .99 | 1-P | |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|--|
| 25 | 10 | 50 | 25 | 10 | 100 | 50 | מ | |
| 0.67 | - 5.54 | 0.48 | - 1.96 | -14.10 | - 0.62 | - 5.89 | ㄷ › | |
| .017 | .190 | .013 | .084 | .420 | .033 | .175 | r H | |
| .958 | | .941 | .961 | .978 | .931 | .964 | ≺∍ | |
| 0.21 | - 5.55 | - 0.64 | - 3.68 | -10.76 | - 4.43 | - 8.21 | t › | |
| .016 | .080 | .012 | .042 | .135 | .031 | .064 | ۵ , ^۲ ۲ | |
| .993 | 1.000 | .998 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | ٠, | |
| 14.70 | 33.80 | 16.57 | 30.29 | 63.22 | 28.78 | 70.89 | ㄷ › | |
| .143 | .768 | .155 | .625 | 1.610 | .471 | 1.767 | ۵ , ^ж ۵ | |
| .943 | .950 | .942 | .954 | .946 | .924 | .955 | ≺ › | |
| 13.94 | 17.62 | 15.55 | 17.65 | 22.93 | 21.40 | 23.81 | E > | |
| .110 | .173 | .109 | .138 | .226 | .128 | .161 | d , ^M G | |
| .954 | .978 | .953 | .973 | .987 | .990 | .992 | ≺ > | |

Table ⁵ - Tolerance Limits with Rounded Data $\left(r = \frac{\text{STD DEV}}{2}\right)$ Normal (0,1), $\gamma = .95$, 1000 repetitions

| | | | | | ~ | | | | | | | | | | | ********* | |
|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------|------------------|
| .90 | .90 | . 95 | . 95 | .95 | .99 | .99 | 1-P | | .90 | .90 | .95 | .95 | .95 | .99 | .99 | I-₽ | |
| 25 | 10 | 50 | 25 | 10 | 100 | 50 | Þ | | 25 | 10 | 50 | 25 | 10 | 100 | 50 | Þ | |
| 0.0 | - 1.0 | 0.0 | - 0.3 | - 2.3 | - 0.0 | - 0.5 | ㄷ | Exponential L'H | - 2.2 | - 6.8 | - 2.6 | - 5.1 | -13.1 | - 4.5 | -10.8 | ۲ , | H, |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 7 | tial (1) | .99 | .99 | .97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | .98 | 1.00 | ۷, | |
| -0.2 | -2.0 | -0.2 | -1.4 | -3.6 | -1.6 | -2.8 | ᅮ | , γ = γ = γ , | -2.2 | -3.9 | -2.5 | -3.5 | -5.7 | -4.2 | -5.1 | ت , | н |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 7 | .95, 1 | .99 | 1.00 | .98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | ≺ , | R ^L - |
| 4.4 | 12.3 | 5.5 | 10.5 | 23.3 | 10.4 | 26.2 | ㄷ | , lex 000 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 12.7 | 4.3 | 10.9 | Ε, | _ |
| .97 | .99 | .94 | .98 | .99 | .95 | 1.00 | ~ | 1000 repetitions | .99 | 1.00 | .97 | .99 | 1.00 | .97 | 1.00 | ۲, | U. |
| 4.0 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 7.3 | ᅮ | , | 2.3 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 5.2 | Ε, | |
| .97 | .94 | .95 | .97 | .94 | .95 | .95 | ~ | , D. | .99 | 1.00 | .98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | ۲, | ₽ ^C |

Table 5 - Continued

Chi-Square (5) , $\gamma = .95$, 1000 repetitions

. 95 .95 .95 .99 .99 .90 100 50 10 25 10 50 25 -15.8 - 0.9 2.6 6.0 0.2 H. 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 -11.1 1.00 1 8.6 0.0 ⊏ > ¤-1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 .99 14.4 66.7 29.0 29.7 67.0 35.1 16.6 **=** > u H 1.00 1.00 23.0 .94 21.8 .98 13.7 .98 .99 17.8 .99 15.7 18.2 23.9 ㄷ › U. . 99 .97 . 99 .99 . 99 .99 ۷,

24